Annuity advice and purchasing: the consumer experience

Sue Lewis

Chair, Financial Services Consumer Panel

www.fs-cp.org.uk

Financial Services Consumer Panel

Background

- Approximately 400,000 annuities sold each year, £12 bn
- **Expanding market:**
 - ❖ Maturing pots from personal pensions & GPPs (sold since 1987)
 - Auto-enrolment
- "Small pots" problem
- ❖ Open market option (OMO) take-up, weak rules

Financial Services Consumer Panel

Finding 1: The OMO doesn't work well

- Open market bewildering & feels risky
 - Consumers 'shop and stop'
 - * 'Best annuity rates UK' gets you nearly 1m hits
- Consumers do not drive competition
 - Low consumer financial capability
 - Fear of product complexity
 - ❖ Fear of making an irreversible, high-cost mistake
 - General distrust of professional advisers
 - Inability to find appropriate advice at acceptable cost

Finding 2: non-advice driven by advisers not consumers

- Major shift to non-advice due to:
 - ❖ Light touch regulation; adviser not responsible for sale
 - ❖ Lower costs (eg qualifications), higher profits via commission
- Big differences between advised and non-advised services
 - Whole of market; deep underwriting, checks for contract terms (eg GARs); checks to ensure selection of right product
 - Limited panels; limited support (annuity sales-driven); shallow underwriting; no checks for GARs etc (can be a disclaimer on site)

Finding 3: Introducers 'masquerading' as advisers

- Introducers sell personal customer details to firms selling annuities
- ❖ Get around £250 for each subsequent successful sale
- Websites look like non-advice and advice sites, but:
 - Consumer doesn't know who they are dealing with
 - Doesn't even know where the firm is based
- Result? Customers get phone calls, emails, texts from firms they have never heard of and did not contact, contributing to "shop and stop"

Finding 4: pricing and competition issues

- Excessive profits on annuity books?
 - ❖ 20 x profits on annuity books compared with all other lines, including pensions
 - ❖ Academic research on 'money's worth' (90%), out of date and only covered OMO rates
 - ❖ Rollover pricing embeds cost of adviser commission even if customer does not use OMO; cost not visible to customer
- 'Rollover' annuities most pressing concern, especially where provider is not in open market

Recommendations

- The FCA should:
 - introduce a code of conduct for the non-advice market
 - address the causes of the current regulatory arbitrage between non-advice services and professional financial advice
 - undertake a rigorous market study to examine possible exploitative rollover pricing, annuity profits and the impact of tied relationships between insurers and annuity providers
 - strengthen the definition of the Open Market Option.
- The Money Advice Service should:
 - develop its independent annuity adviser directory, and launch a targeted education campaign
- The Government should:
 - require employers and trustees to establish a high-quality, low-cost decumulation service for members of workplace schemes
 - establish a 'de-NEST'
 - look at tax rules for multiple small pots