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1 Introduction

Background to this guidance

1.1 A well-functioning advice market needs advisers who know how to deliver suitable advice and can help consumers understand what that advice means for them, so that consumers can make informed decisions. Since the pension freedoms were introduced in April 2015, our work on defined benefit (DB) transfer advice shows firms do not always provide the quality of advice that is required.

1.2 Between April 2015 and September 2018, our data show that 234,951 DB scheme members, with an average transfer value of £352,303, received advice on whether to transfer out of a DB scheme. Across the market, 69% of this advised population were advised to transfer. Given that many consumers are unlikely to benefit from a transfer, this headline figure is surprisingly high and suggests there may be consumer harm.

1.3 The impact of unsuitable advice on consumers is significant. Having lost the security of a guaranteed income, consumers bear the risk of how their pension investments will perform and whether the pension will provide the income they need for their remaining lifetime. They also become responsible for paying charges that, for many, will be one of their largest monthly expenses. These charges are not obvious to many consumers because they are deducted from their pension investments.

1.4 We have carried out significant work to understand what sits behind that headline figure. As part of our supervision work on DB transfer advice since April 2015, we have carried out 4 phases of thematic work. This work included desk based reviews, visits and file reviews of firms that are particularly active in providing DB transfer advice or where we have identified specific concerns. During this work, some firms have suggested to us that they understated the numbers of consumers advised not to transfer when they reported their data. Despite this poor record keeping, we think the harm is still likely to be substantial. We have also carried out targeted work on the British Steel DB transfer advice and undertaken general case work, such as on firms targeting consumers with potential pension scams. We will carry on our work to identify and stop those firms which we consider are causing harm to consumers.

1.5 In our thematic work, despite some high profile concerns, we have seen relatively little evidence of authorised firms trying to scam consumers by exposing them to high risk or illiquid investments. We have also seen some high quality DB transfer advice that has enabled consumers to make the best use of their funds. But much of the advice we have reviewed shows that too many firms are struggling to give consistent, suitable advice to consumers. Across all our thematic reviews, only around 50% of files clearly demonstrated suitability where the advice was to transfer, although our most recent work indicates some slight improvements over time.

1.6 Through our work, we have seen that there are some ‘good firms’ who can give suitable advice consistently. There are also some firms who consistently give unsuitable advice. But most of the firms whose files we review give a mixture of suitable, non-compliant and unsuitable advice. This is largely due to poor practices or weak record keeping.
As a result, too much of the DB transfer advice we see is either unsuitable or we are unable to assess the suitability of the advice due to material information gaps (MiGs). MiGs occur where firms have not collected the necessary information, usually about the consumer or the scheme benefits, to assess suitability. Firms must not proceed to advise a client without this information. Even where the advice is suitable, we have seen too many poor practices based on a misunderstanding of the requirements, poorly designed systems, out-dated processes or inadequate disclosures.

1.7 We know that many firms are trying to do the right thing for their clients but have failed to realise they are not acting in their clients’ best interests until we point this out to them. We want to support those firms trying to give suitable DB transfer advice to consumers. But we will also continue to ensure the removal of poorly performing firms from the market, where appropriate.

1.8 Including today’s Policy Statement, we have now published 3 Policy Statements (PS18/6, PS18/20 and PS20/6) over the last 3 years. The main focus of these documents was to set out the advice framework that we expect advisers to follow when giving DB transfer advice. But a growing number of industry participants have requested more support:

- adviser firms want more guidance on how to give suitable DB transfer advice and how to implement the Handbook rules in practice
- providers of professional indemnity insurance (PII) have said they would like to have a better understanding of how to identify suitable and unsuitable DB transfer advice, and
- compliance consultants want to be better able to support firms in this market

1.9 Industry participants have also asked us for best practice and case study examples of suitable/unsuitable advice. We have responded to some of these requests in different ways, such as our Live and Local presentations. But it is also clear that firms and others would value a document that they can refer to on an ongoing basis.

1.10 We have written this Guidance Consultation (GC) to meet these requests. It provides non-Handbook guidance that is designed to help advisers understand our expectations when advising on pension transfers and conversions. It focuses particularly on the processes firms need to put in place to give suitable DB transfer advice – and avoid giving unsuitable advice. The aim of the GC is to improve the suitability of DB transfer advice and the outcomes for individual consumers. It also aims to give advisers the confidence to give good advice, so that they and their professional indemnity insurers can see the benefits of less unsuitable advice, making the pension advice market more sustainable going forward.

1.11 Staff at some firms appear to think the problem of unsuitable advice lies elsewhere and not at their own doorstep. Firms giving DB transfer advice should read this GC and, in due course, the subsequent finalised guidance to help ensure that they are giving suitable advice to consumers.

**About this guidance**

1.12 We are consulting on this non-Handbook guidance to help firms understand how to apply our Handbook rules and guidance when giving DB transfer advice. The guidance
aims to support the development of good practice and processes within firms. Once finalised, we would expect firms to use the guidance to identify any weaknesses in their existing processes so they can put into place an appropriate framework for managing and delivering suitable advice on DB to defined contribution (DC) transfers.

1.13 We have provided examples of what we are calling ‘good’ and ‘poor’ practice, largely based on advice we have assessed, to illustrate our expectations and how they link to compliance with our rules:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good Practice</th>
<th>Poor Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Where the good practice relates to a particular rule, it tends to show the practice complies with the relevant rule.</td>
<td>Where the poor practice relates to a particular rule, it tends to show the practice does not comply with the relevant rule.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.14 In this GC, we also consult on:

- a scheme data template that is designed to help advisers understand what data they need to get from a DB scheme for the advisory process
- an updated version of the joint FCA/The Pensions Regulator (TPR) branded factsheet: ‘Guide for Employers and Trustees on providing support with financial matters without needing to be subject to regulation’

1.15 In the guidance, we refer to ‘you’, advisers and firms interchangeably although ultimately, firms remain liable for the advice their advisers give. Under the Senior Managers Regime (SM&CR), a senior manager will have individual responsibility for the provision of suitable DB transfer advice.

1.16 In this GC, we use the phrase ‘DB transfer advice’ to mean ‘advising on conversion or transfer of pension benefits’ from DB schemes to a flexible arrangement.

1.17 This GC is published alongside Policy Statement 20/6 (PS20/6). It takes account of the rules and guidance made in that PS as if they were already in force. Similarly, it assumes that all the changes made in our previous Policy Statements, particularly PS18/6 and PS18/20, are already in force.

1.18 A GC is not a substitute for reading the relevant Handbook rules and guidance, but we have given cross-references to the key rules in each section.

1.19 We are required to consult on the proposed guidance in this GC as it forms ‘general guidance’, as defined in section 139B(5) of Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA). FSMA does not require a formal cost benefit analysis (CBA) on guidance but we have set out where we think some firms may incur some costs, as well as the benefits to consumers (see Annex 3).

Who does this consultation affect?

1.20 This guidance is primarily aimed at firms providing advice on the conversion or transfer of pension benefits and relevant advice on where any transferred funds may be invested. It will also be of interest to professional indemnity insurers, compliance
consultants, and any other firms arranging transfers or investments from transfers, potentially including providers.

1.21 The guidance may also be of interest to trustees, scheme administrators and operators of schemes offering safeguarded benefits, as well as trade associations, providers receiving transferred funds and consumer groups.

### Equality and diversity considerations

1.22 We are required under the Equality Act 2010 to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination and to promote equality of opportunity in carrying out our policies, services and functions.

1.23 We believe the guidance in this consultation does not raise equality or diversity issues. In general, this guidance explains how firms can meet their existing obligations and does not create any new obligations or set any new expectations. In general, if this guidance results in firms being able to deliver suitable personal recommendations at a lower cost, this will particularly benefit those who are typically less willing or able to pay for advice.

1.24 We will continue to consider the equality and diversity implications of this guidance during the consultation period, and will revisit them when publishing the final guidance. In the interim, we welcome any feedback to this consultation on these considerations.

**Q1:** Do you agree on the intent and scope of this non-Handbook Guidance Consultation as set out in this Introduction?

### How to respond to this consultation

1.25 This consultation is open for 3 months. We welcome stakeholder views on the questions we ask, about this introduction and the proposed guidance in Chapters 2-6 and Annexes 1-2. You can find the questions in Annex 4. Please send us your comments by 4 September 2020. Use the online response form on our website or write to us as follows:

Sandra Graham and Zoe Jardine

Email address: gc20-01@fca.org.uk

Please do not send us responses by post at this time.
2 Being prepared to give defined benefit transfer advice

High level regulatory framework

Overview

2.1 Before firms can give pension transfer advice, including DB transfer advice, they must hold the correct permissions for the type of business they want to transact. They should be aware of relevant legislation. They also need to know the key rules that apply to the regulated advice they plan to give. If firms do not give advice that complies with our rules, we will ensure they are removed from the DB transfer advice market, where appropriate.

Permission regime

2.2 If you want to give advice on a transaction meeting the definition of a pension transfer, a pension conversion or a pension opt-out, you need to understand which permission you need. We have said previously that we can give a limited permission to firms that only advise on giving up guaranteed annuity rates (GARs), or equivalent benefits. Effectively, this means the relevant permission is split into 2 parts:

a. limited permission: advising on transfers from GARs, including retirement annuities, only
b. full permission: all pension transfers, pension conversions and pension opt-outs

2.3 A firm with the full permission must take responsibility for communications about DB transfer advice. This also means that if a Pension Transfer Specialist (PTS) wants to advise any consumer, or even themselves, on a DB transfer, their firm must take responsibility for the advice. Firms must ensure they do not inadvertently give false or misleading impressions or they could be held liable for it.

Good Practice – using permissions

A firm with the full permission sets out its terms of business for ongoing advice services so that it excludes advice on giving up any DB pensions that the client holds. The firm makes clear that its ongoing service will assume that the client continues to be a member of this type of scheme and will take the benefits at the normal retirement age. The firm only gives advice on a transfer or conversion if the client specifically asks for advice on whether to give up a specific DB pension.

Poor Practice – operating outside permissions

A firm contacted its professional indemnity insurance (PII) provider after gathering facts about the client when giving DB transfer advice. Based on the information the firm provided, the PII provider would then decide whether it would let the firm continue giving advice or whether to exclude the advice given to that client from the cover. This carried the risk that the PII provider could be carrying on regulated activities relating to DB transfers for which it did not have the necessary permissions and could be influencing the advice process without the necessary expertise.
2.4 A firm must also meet any legislative requirements when advising on a transfer from a safeguarded benefit scheme to a flexible benefits scheme. For example, under the Department for Work and Pensions’ definition of ‘appropriate independent advice’, the advice must be provided by an adviser who is independent of the employer or trustees/manager of a scheme. So we think it is unlikely a financial services group that is regulated by us could use advisers within the group to offer advice to members of its own scheme.

Definitions and application of Handbook rules

2.5 Our Handbook glossary sets out 2 definitions that are specifically related to DB transfer advice. A pension conversion captures the movement of safeguarded benefits to flexible benefits within the same scheme. A pension transfer captures:

- the movement of safeguarded benefits in one scheme to flexible benefits in another scheme
- advice on giving up safeguarded benefits in an occupational pension scheme (OPS) for safeguarded benefits in a non-OPS
- advice on giving up safeguarded benefits in an individual pension contract providing fixed or guaranteed benefits that replaced similar safeguarded benefits under a pension scheme, commonly known as a s.32 contract, for safeguarded benefits in either an DC OPS or a non-OPS with safeguarded benefits

2.6 You must comply with the suitability rules in our Handbook when you give advice on a pension transfer or pension conversion. If you give abridged advice, the suitability rules in COBS 9 and COBS 19.1A apply. If you give full DB transfer advice, the suitability rules in COBS 9 and COBS 19.1 apply. In addition, if you give investment advice and provide a personal recommendation on a product that would receive any transferred funds, you need to comply with COBS 9 and COBS 19.2.

2.7 COBS 19.1 does not apply in full to transfers out of a pension with a GAR. For example, there is no requirement to use a PTS, prepare and provide a transfer value comparator (TVC) or carry out appropriate pension transfer analysis (APTA). But our starting assumption still applies to transfers away from GARs. So firms should start from the position that it should only consider a transfer to be suitable if it can clearly demonstrate that, on contemporary evidence, the transfer is in the client’s best interests. Some of the provisions of COBS 19.1 also apply to pension opt-outs involving safeguarded benefits.

2.8 Advice on pension switches that do not involve any safeguarded benefits are not subject to the pension transfer rules in COBS 19.1 but are still subject to COBS 9.

2.9 Where you arrange a transfer so a client can immediately buy an annuity, it is still likely to count as advice on giving up safeguarded benefits for flexible benefits. This is because the funds would usually go through a personal pension which pays out the pension commencement lump sum (PCLS) before a client buys the annuity. A transfer to an immediate vesting personal pension plan may count as a safeguarded to safeguarded transfer. If that contract was cancelled within the cooling off period and the funds could not be sent back to the ceding scheme, the funds would not be available for investment elsewhere until advice had been given.
The interaction between COBS 9, COBS 19.1, COBS 19.1A and COBS 19.2

2.10 When giving abridged advice on a DB transfer, you are required to give either a personal recommendation not to transfer or a statement that the short form of advice is insufficient to make any decision about whether a transfer is suitable. Abridged advice must meet the suitability rules in COBS 9 and COBS 19.1A.

2.11 When giving full advice on a DB transfer, you will normally give 2 pieces of advice:

• whether to give up safeguarded benefits
• where to transfer the funds to, should a transfer proceed

2.12 The 2 pieces of advice should not be treated separately as they relate to connected transactions. The funds will only be invested in a new pension product if the transfer proceeds. So the adviser giving the DB transfer advice must consider where the funds may be invested. This applies whether the same firm or a different firm gives the investment advice or if a self-investor chooses the destination. Similarly, the adviser giving the investment advice should take into account that the client would no longer have these safeguarded benefits within their portfolio.

2.13 In the scenario above, the rules in COBS 19.1 require you to give a personal recommendation on whether to give up safeguarded benefits. In addition, the regulated activity of giving advice on investments (Article 53(1)) requires you to give a personal recommendation when you advise where the funds may be transferred. Full DB transfer advice must meet the suitability rules in both COBS 19.1 and COBS 9. The investment advice on where the funds are transferred must also meet the suitability rules in COBS 9 and COBS 19.2. This means firms must apply the suitability rules in COBS 9 both to the DB transfer advice and to the investment advice. For example, a firm should assess a client’s knowledge and experience both for a pension transfer and for investing.

2.14 A firm may advise on a transfer to a scheme that is usually outside the FCA’s regulatory remit, such as a small self-administered scheme (SSAS). When giving DB transfer advice, even though advice on a SSAS is usually unregulated, the adviser may still be responsible for the advice on the SSAS because the 2 pieces of advice are connected. If the investment in the SSAS is a direct consequence of the regulated advice to give up the safeguarded benefits, the 2 pieces of advice will be interconnected.

2.15 Table 1 shows how the firm should apply the suitability rules to the abridged advice, full DB transfer advice and advice on where funds could be transferred, if a transfer proceeds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of advice</th>
<th>COBS 9 applies</th>
<th>COBS 19.1 applies</th>
<th>COBS 19.1A applies</th>
<th>COBS 19.2 applies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DB transfer advice</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abridged advice</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment advice on funds that may be transferred</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q2: Do you have any comments on how we have set out the high level regulatory regime for giving pension transfer/conversion advice? If not, how would you expect it to be set out?

Professional indemnity insurance

Overview

2.16 Investment firms are required to hold adequate capital, calculated by reference to their income, and need to be able to meet their liabilities as they fall due. These firms must also hold professional indemnity insurance (PII) to provide cover for claims resulting from the firm’s past and future business. These rules in part implement a requirement of the Insurance Distribution Directive (IDD).

2.17 PII helps to ensure that consumers are protected if they make a claim against a firm for professional negligence. If the excess on the policy is too high or the policy conditions are too restrictive, PII will not meet its purpose of protecting firms and their customers.

2.18 We are concerned some financial advisers are not holding adequate financial resources and/or do not have compliant PII for the business activities they carry out. Without adequate financial resources and compliant PII cover in place, firms risk being unable to put things right where they have caused harm to consumers.

2.19 This guidance is on the rules in IPRU-INV 13.1. These rules do not apply to certain firms which are subject to prudential requirements elsewhere in our Handbook. Some of these firms will have PII requirements under MIPRU 3. Where a firm is subject to MIPRU 3 this guidance will not apply. MIPRU does not permit firms to have exclusions in the PII cover in the same way as IPRU-INV 13.1.

2.20 An exempt CAD firm is also subject to rules in IPRU-INV 13.1A and is not required to hold PII cover under IPRU-INV 13.1 (see IPRU-INV 13.1.6R). If an exempt CAD firm is an IDD insurance intermediary, then it is required to hold PII cover that at least meets the limits in IPRU-INV 13.1.10R and can elect to hold further cover to meet their additional capital requirement under IPRU-INV 13.1A (see IPRU-INV 13.1A.4R). Where an exempt CAD firm is not an IDD insurance intermediary, it can choose whether to hold PII cover or another form of prudential protection (see IPRU-INV 13.1A.3R). Where the firm elects to hold PII cover, this must be at least equal to the requirements of IPRU-INV 13.1.11R and IPRU-INV 13.1.15R to IPRU-INV 13.1.27R. So the guidance below will be relevant for these firms.

Requirements on firms

2.21 Firms must maintain adequate financial resources, including PII for past and current business where there is no break in cover (IPRU-INV 13.1). Where firms cannot secure PII for their DB business then they will not be meeting this requirement.

2.22 When a firm is offered PII that contains exclusions or limitations then they need to consider if these would unreasonably limit the cover. This could include cover that imposes sub-limits, or exclusions that conflict with how the firm operates. For example, if a firm gives advice on employer-led transfer exercises but the cover
excludes some individuals typically included within those exercises, then the cover would be inadequate.

2.23 Unless a firm has the necessary cover, we expect them to stop all relevant regulated business, including DB transfer business. Where relevant, firms should apply to us for the relevant permission to be removed, e.g., advising on pension transfers and opt-outs, or cease business entirely. Where a firm does not hold adequate PII cover for past business for which it no longer holds the relevant permission, it will need to show why this is a reasonable limit on its cover.

2.24 Firms will not comply with our rules if they get cover through a single parent captive arrangement that does not have adequate financial resources in place, including appropriate reinsurance, on an ongoing basis. This would effectively result in the parent entity retaining the risk.

2.25 Our rules allow conditions which do not unreasonably limit the cover of the PII policy. In these circumstances, the firm will be required to hold additional capital resources in accordance with the tables in IPRU-INV 13.1.23R for exclusions and IPRU-INV 13.1.27R for excesses. A firm will need to consider its potential liabilities to identify whether it would be required to hold additional financial resources on top of the amounts in the tables.

2.26 Generally, firms can get cover on terms that are available from providers operating in the PII market. We expect firms to check these terms to identify whether they comply with the requirements in IPRU-INV 13.1, including whether the policy has any conditions which would unreasonably limit cover. If an adviser firm’s own track record leads to an insurer imposing terms that significantly limit cover, this is likely to mean the cover is unreasonably limited and so does not meet the rules. This means the level of cover these firms hold will be insufficient to undertake their regulated activities.

**Excess**

2.27 IPRU-INV 13.1.25R specifies that a policy must not provide for the firm to pay an excess on any claim of more than £5,000, unless the firm holds additional capital resources which are specified in IPRU-INV 13.1.27R. These rules set out specific amounts of additional capital resources based on the excess and the firm’s annual income. This is intended to allow firms to negotiate how much risk to transfer to an insurer and how much to keep.

2.28 The rules permitting excesses still come under the requirement in IPRU-INV 13.1.20R that a policy must not be subject to terms which unreasonably limit the cover. We expect firms to consider whether the policy they hold or have been offered is subject to any excesses that are so high that the PII policy is materially ineffective. Where this is the case, we consider that the policy would not comply with the wider requirements in IPRU-INV 13.1.

**Additional capital resources**

2.29 The tables in IPRU-INV 13.1.23R and IPRU-INV 13.1.27R need to be read together with the high-level requirements in IPRU-INV 13.1.4R for firms to be able to meet its liabilities as they fall due and meet threshold conditions on an ongoing basis. IPRU-INV 13.1.24G explains that firms should hold additional capital resources in excess of those
minimum amounts set out in IPRU-INV 13.1.23R where the required amounts provide insufficient cover, taking into account the firm’s individual circumstances.

2.30 The additional capital resources set out in the rules are minimum requirements so a firm may need to hold much more than this. We expect firms to identify the sources of potential harm to consumers and to markets, and estimate their impact. Firms should assess whether they have adequate financial resources that match the risk of harm and complexity of their business. As there can be a long lag time in financial advice complaints, it is unlikely to be appropriate for a firm to be able to rely upon it having received ‘no previous complaints’ as justification for holding the minimum amount of additional capital resources.

Expectations of firms which manufacture and/or distribute PII

2.31 We also have requirements that apply to firms which manufacture, effect/carry out and/or distribute PII products. We want to help firms that manufacture and/or distribute PII understand our expectations of them. This may contribute to financial advisers holding PII that meets the requirements in IPRU-INV 13.1.

Our expectations of PII manufacturers

2.32 Any firm that manufactures an insurance product, including PII, is a product manufacturer that is subject to our rules and guidance on product governance. Manufacturing includes creating, developing, designing or underwriting an insurance product.

2.33 Manufacturers need to have a product governance process in place which includes having to identify and specify a target market for their product. Firms need to make sure that the product is consistent with the needs, characteristics and objectives of customers in that target market or, where the RPPD applies, that the product is likely to be suitable for the type of customer in the target market.

2.34 When launching new products or making significant changes to existing products, firms manufacturing PII products for personal investment firms (PIFs) should consider if the product is consistent with the requirements in IPRU-INV 13.1, including the expectations set out above. If the product is not, it is unlikely to meet the requirements in PROD.

2.35 We know PIFs are concerned about the cost of obtaining PII cover and whether the cost properly reflects the risks associated with their business. As part of the product design, manufacturers need to consider whether the costs and charges of the PII product are compatible with the needs, objectives and characteristics of the target market. Where distributors’ remuneration contributes to overall costs to the customer, firms should take account of our guidance in FG19/5.

2.36 Manufacturer firms must regularly monitor their products to check that they remain consistent with the needs of the target market. If a PII product does not meet the needs of PIFs because it is inconsistent with the requirements in IPRU-INV 13.1, as set out above, we expect manufacturer firms to take appropriate action. For example, firms could review the cover and update the product terms, especially where the product was sold on the basis that it would meet a PIF’s regulatory PII obligations or the manufacturer’s information gave firms a reasonable expectation of this.
Our expectations of distributors

2.37 In our view, distributors that propose or sell PII policies to a PIF need to consider the requirements in IPRU-INV, given our expectations above. They should also consider the following FCA requirements:

- Principle 6 and the customer’s best interests rule (ICOBS 2.5.-1R).
- The requirement to ensure remuneration does not conflict with the customer’s best interests rule. In particular, insurance distributors should not have remuneration, sales targets or other arrangements that incentivise the sale of one contract of insurance when another would better meet the customer’s needs (SYSC 19F.2.2R).
- Product governance requirements for distributors to obtain all relevant information from manufacturer firms (PROD 4.3.1R) and to take appropriate action if they become aware that an insurance product is not in line with the interests, objectives and characteristics of its identified target market (PROD 4.3.11EU).
- Requirements to propose contracts that are consistent with the customer’s demands and needs (ICOBS 5.2.2R) or, where advice is provided, to ensure that the recommended product is suitable (ICOBS 5.3.1R).
- Principle 7 and requirements for appropriate information to be provided to the customer in good time (ICOBS 6.1 and ICOBS 6 Annex 2).

2.38 In particular, firms distributing PII policies should:

- get enough information from the customer to understand their demands and needs, including whether the policy aims to satisfy the firm’s regulatory need for PII, in part or in full
- propose contracts that are consistent with these insurance demands and needs, including consideration of whether a contract has any conditions or exclusions which would be inconsistent with the cover the firm wants. For example, where such conditions or exclusions mean the firm is not meeting our expectations of the cover the firm needs for the purposes of IPRU-INV 13, the proposal would not be consistent with the customer’s insurance needs.
- when providing advice, take reasonable steps to establish whether the policy is suitable for the customer, including whether it would be consistent with their demands and needs. This should take into account whether the level of cover, relevant exclusions, excesses, limitations, and conditions are consistent with the cover firms are required to hold.

Using multiple providers

2.39 We know that some firms will get cover from more than 1 provider to meet their overall PII requirements. We recognise that this may offer firms a proportionate way to get the required cover and that this is permitted under our rules. We consider that our guidance here would continue to permit this approach. Firms also need to consider how the overall package of cover meets our requirements in IPRU-INV. In particular, we expect that:

- manufacturers which design PII products to be part of an overall package should make this clear to distributors and customers
- where more than one firm works on the manufacture of a product, they should have an agreement in place setting out their mutual responsibilities, and
brokers arranging cover should take into account the overall effects of any conditions in part of the cover and if, when put together, the overall cover is consistent with the IPRU-INV 13.1 rules

**Q3:** Do you agree with our guidance on how personal investment firms, manufacturers and distributors should meet the requirements when securing, manufacturing or distributing professional indemnity insurance? If not, please explain why, by reference to the Handbook rules.

### Systems and controls

2.40 In line with Principle 3, all firms must take reasonable care to organise and control their affairs responsibly and effectively, with adequate risk management systems. SYSC 4.1.1R sets out that firms must have robust governance arrangements. This includes:

- a clear organisational structure with well defined, transparent and consistent lines of responsibility
- effective processes to identify, manage, monitor and report the risks it is or might be exposed to, and
- internal control mechanisms, including sound administrative and accounting procedures and effective control and safeguard arrangements for information processing systems

2.41 SYSC 9.1.1R requires firms to keep orderly records, including all services and transactions undertaken by the firm.

2.42 Firms’ governance procedures should be aligned with the nature and complexity of the business. As pension transfers are complex and high-risk, all firms giving advice on them should have proportionate measures in place for monitoring the risks.

2.43 We expect firms to ensure they have good quality information to undertake the monitoring function. Good records of firm activity are the basis for good management information (MI). MI is information that firms can use to manage risks within their business. While firms report a certain level of MI to us, such as the information required in the Retail Mediation Activities Return, the level of detail they collect for their own purposes should generally be more extensive than this. If a firm puts governance and oversight procedures in place but then takes no action when the procedures suggest they should, the firm is not operating effectively.
Good Practice – pension transfer MI
By keeping records of DB transfer advice given at PTS level, a firm was able to identify key statistics. They identified differences in conversion rates (the proportion of business where a transfer was recommended) and consistent client rates from different PTSSs. The firm put in place additional review processes to monitor whether the differences were resulting in unsuitable advice or poor consumer outcomes.

Poor Practice – oversight procedures
As well as undertaking an internal audit of its advice processes, a firm paid for an independent review of its DB transfer advice processes from a firm with s.166 experience. The firm implemented some of the recommendations suggested in the resulting report. But despite both reviews consistently suggesting other actions were necessary to reduce the risks of giving unsuitable advice, the firm did not implement these. The firm was unable to explain why it disagreed with the recommendations.

Poor Practice – oversight procedures
Some firms operate a 2-adviser model. In these cases, one firm gives the DB transfer advice and another firm, acting as an introducer, gives the investment advice on the proposed scheme, if a transfer was to proceed.

In some cases, firms giving the DB transfer advice rely entirely on introducers for business and never meet the end-client. They have no control over the volumes of business they receive. They have minimal oversight of how the introducers use the DB transfer advice firm’s documents and present the advice to clients. This can result in the introducers having high consistent client rates. The DB transfer advice firms collect limited MI on the outcomes of the advice process. This results in them having no oversight or controls around key parts of their advice process.

Conflicts of interest
2.44 In line with Principle 8, a firm must manage conflicts of interest fairly, both between itself and its customers and between a customer and another client. When giving DB transfer advice, firms should be particularly aware of and manage conflicts of interest where the firm’s interest in the outcome of a service provided to the client is separate from the client’s interest in that outcome.

Good Practice – conflicts of interest
A firm operated a centralised investment proposition (CIP). The firm would profit from ongoing advice charges and easier administration if they recommended a transfer and their CIP solution.

They wanted to be able to demonstrate that they managed this conflict of interest. If the pre-sale business review function identified that a transfer and investment into the CIP had been recommended when it would not be suitable, the PTS was disqualified from quarterly bonus distribution.
Poor Practice – conflicts of interest

A firm’s advice manual states that when advising clients in ill-health to transfer out of a DB scheme, the firm’s PTSs should consider recommending the client should go into drawdown and take ongoing advice. By setting out a process that did not adequately recognise the role of lifestyle/impaired life annuities, it seemed the firm was prioritising an approach that would benefit itself more than the client.

Q4: Do you agree with our explanations on how firms should manage systems and controls and conflicts of interest to achieve the outcomes we expect when giving DB transfer advice? If not, how would you change them?

Training and competence: continuing professional development

2.45 DB transfer advice is difficult to get right, so it is essential that PTSs maintain competence after achieving their qualifications.

2.46 A PTS must meet appropriate qualification requirements. Firms must also ensure that PTSs assessed as competent (TC 2.1.1R) continue to maintain their professional development to carry on their role as a PTS. Firms must review an employees’ competence on a regular basis in accordance to TC 2.1.12R. This includes the employee’s technical knowledge and how they apply that knowledge in practice, as well as their skills and expertise and changes in the market and to products, legislation and regulation (see TC 2.1.13G). If a PTS is not regularly giving or checking pension DB transfer advice and/or is not keeping up to date with changes, their knowledge and skills may become outdated. In turn, this creates a risk that a PTS causes consumers harm by giving them unsuitable advice.

Good Practice – demonstrating competence with professional knowledge and competence

A firm created training for its PTSs using examples of previous advice that had been reviewed by compliance consultants, the Financial Ombudsman Service or FCA file reviews and found unsuitable or non-compliant. The firm required its PTSs to:

- review the file in its entirety
- identify the failings in each file
- where the necessary information was held on the file:
  - prepare a new TVC and APTA
  - prepare a new suitability report

PTSs who could not complete the task adequately were required to be supervised until they were retrained and demonstrated competence.

Poor Practice – demonstrating competence with professional knowledge and competence

A firm’s PTSs were not aware of the Handbook guidance on clients’ attitude to transfer risk. So they did not adequately assess whether their clients had the appropriate attitude to giving up safeguarded benefits, such as being prepared to pay for or manage investments for the rest of their life.
2.47 A PTS must undertake 15 hours of continuing professional development (CPD) each year (TC 2.1.23AR(1)). A firm may set up their own training and competence arrangements and can use an independent external provider (see TC 2.1.23BG(2)). Firms must arrange for an external independent provider to provide at least 5 hours of the 15 hours each year to be provided by (TC 2.1.23AR(2)(b)). This is to ensure that a PTS is not just receiving a ‘house view’.

2.48 Training and development can include various types of facilitated learning opportunities. This can include courses, e-learning and mentoring, of which 9 hours must be structured learning and 6 hours can be unstructured learning (TC 2.1.23AR(2)(a)). Firms must ensure the PTS CPD is specific to the PTS role. If a training session would meet the requirements of another CPD scheme, it is probably not sufficiently tailored to count for PTS CPD (TC 2.1.23AR(4)), as the PTS content must be about activities that only a PTS is allowed to undertake.

Good Practice – CPD

An organisation is designing a programme of training sessions of different lengths to help PTSs meet their CPD requirements. The organisation tries to identify topics that:

- are specific to activities undertaken by PTSs
- have been identified by us as areas that firms find difficult to do well
- are based on incoming rules

The list includes topics such as:

- how to assess attitude to transfer risk for pension transfers and pension conversions
- opting out of a DB scheme: key considerations
- factfinding for DB transfer advice
- meaningful objectives for DB transfer advice
- delivering abridged advice
- demonstrating serious financial difficulty for the carve-out
- advising vulnerable clients on DB transfers
- debt management vs a DB pension transfer: assessing short and long-term outcomes
- DB transfer advice: understanding behaviours of clients with debt
- managing ongoing conflicts of interest when advising on DB transfers
- using the TVC effectively
- personalising APTA
- considering alternatives to a DB transfer
- using your Gabriel data on DB transfers to manage your risks
- writing good DB suitability reports

2.49 PTSs fall under the Certification Regime so firms need to check and confirm (‘certify’), at least once a year, that PTSs are suitable to do their job.

Q5: Do you agree with our guidance on how pension transfer specialists can meet their continuing professional development requirements? If not, please explain why.
Financial promotions

2.50 Many firms use websites or sponsor advertisements on internet search engines to attract new clients. While this is acceptable, firms must not use misleading commentary to attract clients.

2.51 Where your website or advert invites consumers to contact the firm for regulated activities offered by the firm, it needs to comply with the financial promotion requirements. Some firms choose not to state explicitly that they undertake DB transfers. Others make clear that they do, but give no additional information. However, some firms present a commentary on DB transfers. Under the financial promotion rules, firms have a responsibility to ensure that any commentary is clear, fair and not misleading, and make sure it fairly and prominently mentions any relevant risks when mentioning any potential benefits (COBS 4.5.2R(2)).

2.52 Most of the misleading comments we see try to convince potential clients that a transfer could be positive for them, presumably because firms think these statements will generate more business. If your website or advert generally refers to the benefitsof accessing pension flexibilities and control over your own money, without explaining about the risks, or why it is better than a guaranteed, lifetime income from a DB scheme, then it is likely that you are not meeting our requirements on financial promotions.

2.53 Misleading comments include those that are factually incorrect, those where only one perspective of a transfer has been presented or where suitability is presented as automatic in certain circumstances.

Poor Practice – website information

- A website stated: ‘We can help you access your funds as we’ve already helped thousands of others’ but failed to acknowledge that the client may be advised not to transfer and that advice can be equally helpful.
- Although a DB pension is legally held in trust for members, a firm’s website claimed that ‘the fund is legally yours’.
- Some websites imply that the higher the transfer value, the more likely it is that a transfer will be suitable.
- A Google advert encouraged consumers to find out why now might be ‘the best time ever’ to consider a final salary transfer.
- A firm states on its website that: ‘Your pension fund will not be used to subsidise someone else’s pension’ but made no attempt to point out that some members may benefit from the cross-subsidies that are part of DB pensions.
- A firm showed a sample transfer report on its website, including wording which implied that the Pension Protection Fund (PPF) may not be sufficiently funded to be of any use.
- A firm’s website implied that factors that increased the employer’s cost of providing a DB scheme, such as longer lifespans and lower investment returns, were also unfavourable to a deferred member.

2.54 Our rules on financial promotions also apply to other forms of media, including client brochures and social media. The use of misleading comments in any of these media may give consumers unrealistic expectations about the potential outcomes from advice, even if they eventually take advice from a different firm. Firms should give clear information about both the advantages and disadvantages of transfers, and explain the outcomes from the advice process. Consumers are then better able to engage with the process and recognise the value of advice to remain in their scheme as well as advice to transfer.
Good Practice – website information

‘If you take advice with us, we will give you one of two outcomes:

• We can show that the transfer is clearly in your best interests and suitable for you
• We cannot show that the transfer is in your best interests so we believe that remaining in your current scheme is suitable for you.’

Q6: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should meet their obligations when undertaking financial promotions for DB transfer advice? If not, please explain why.

Using introducers

2.55 We have previously set out our expectations of the responsibilities of regulated firms who accept business from introducers. An authorised firm that accepts business from an introducer must meet its regulatory requirements for the activities for which it will carry responsibility. You must ensure you maintain full and complete ownership of the advisory process between yourself and your client. Any regulated advice you give must meet the requirements in our Handbook.

2.56 If you are giving both DB transfer advice and recommending where transferred funds could be invested, you should not let an introducer influence the investment choice. If you do, you may be held responsible and subject to regulatory action. While most authorised introducers use mainstream investments, we have specific concerns where the use of introducers involves moving pensions to unregulated, high risk, illiquid products, whether based in the UK or overseas.

2.57 If you accept business from introducers, you must not delegate any of your regulated activities to them. If you rely on another firm, you remain responsible for ensuring you hold all the necessary information. You can rely on another firm to gather information (COBS 2.4.6R) but you may wish to confirm with the client that all the information is correct. You must also ensure that you are able to give advice that is independent from the issuer of the investment, if they are also the introducer, and keep full and complete ownership of the advice process. You are responsible for meeting the requirements set out in the Handbook. This GC is designed to help you do that.

2.58 You must have adequate systems and controls to organise and control your affairs responsibly and effectively. You should have adequate risk management systems, in line with Principle 3, and as set out in the Systems and Controls section above. For example, you should consider the relative level and type of due diligence you perform on unregulated introducers that sell unregulated, high risk, illiquid products compared to the due diligence you perform on regulated or professional firms, such as solicitors, that refer clients to you.

Good Practice – working with unregulated introducers

When an authorised firm receives referrals from unauthorised firms that are not professional services firms such as accountants or solicitors, it decides not to rely on the client information they provide. As part of its due diligence process, the firm always contacts the client to verify the information set out in the fact find and carries out its own risk profiling assessment.
Poor Practice – working with unregulated introducers

The authorised firm did not do adequate due diligence on the unregulated introducers it used. It did not collect information on the introducer’s relationship with the introduced clients and any conflicts of interest. The authorised firm failed to have appropriate contact with the introduced clients. For example, it did not follow up on inconsistent customer information provided by the introducer. The authorised firm completed its analysis and sent the client a suitability report. Despite recommending the client remain in the scheme, the authorised firm proceeded to issue a section 48 confirmation of advice letter without any discussion with the client. The authorised firm failed to challenge the introducer that the client did not follow its recommendation. The introducer then transferred the client’s pension into a scam investment. The authorised firm continued to accept further introductions from the same introducer.

2.59 If you recommend a transfer into a stakeholder or personal pension, including a self-invested pension, you can only be paid by adviser charges and/or employer/trustee funded pension advice charges. You cannot accept commissions for recommendations to invest in unregulated investments within these pensions.

2.60 When giving DB transfer advice, you may not receive remuneration that varies depending on whether a transfer proceeds. You will not be able to pay introducer payments that depend on the outcome of the advice, as your net remuneration would vary.

Q7: Do you agree with our expectations on how a firm should act, when working with unregulated introducers? If not, please state why.
3 Initial client interaction

Initial charging disclosures


Adviser charging structure disclosure

3.1 Consumers need to know how much advice will cost them. Our rules set out a number of ways in which you should disclose your adviser charges when giving DB transfer advice.

3.2 You must disclose your charging structure to your clients, setting out how you calculate your adviser charges, before you give advice. For full DB transfer advice, the methodology for calculating both any part of, and the total value of, your charges must be the same whether you recommend a transfer or not, i.e. they cannot be contingent. If you charge as a percentage of the transfer value, you should also give an example in cash terms in your charging structure.

3.3 If you are giving advice on where any transferred funds would be invested and you will carry out related services such as arranging the transfer and new investment, you must include these charges within the overall cost. If you do not undertake these services, you are allowed to charge a lower amount. For example, this may apply if you advise an overseas client on a pension transfer, but an overseas firm advises on where funds could be transferred and makes all the arrangements with the ceding and proposed schemes. Where 2 UK firms are involved in giving advice, the methodology for calculating the total charges, and the value of your total charges, should be the same as if one firm had given the advice, whether or not the transfer proceeds.

3.4 If you offer abridged advice followed by full advice, your charges for full advice should not include charging for work already done when giving abridged advice.

Good Practice – charging structure disclosure

Our advisory services

Full defined benefit transfer advice: we will advise you on whether you should keep your DB scheme or transfer out to a new pension that we will recommend for you. We will liaise with your new and old schemes to make all the necessary arrangements. The minimum transfer value for advice is £200,000.

Our charges

Full defined benefit transfer advice: £1,250 plus ½% of transfer value, payable if you proceed to transfer or not.

Illustrative costs:

If your DB scheme transfer value is £200,000, advice will cost £2,250.
If your DB scheme transfer value is £600,000, advice will cost £4,250.
**Abridged defined benefit transfer advice:** we will advise you on whether you should keep your DB scheme but without considering a new scheme. If you want to continue to look at a new scheme you will need to take full advice.

**Abridged defined benefit transfer advice:** £250 (this will be offset against the cost of full advice if you also take full advice).

### Personalised charges communication

3.5 Standard charging structures set out indicative charges for the advisory services you offer in generic terms and, if not in cash terms already, give examples in cash terms. For DB transfer advice, you must also give a potential client a ‘personalised charges’ disclosure, based on the actual transfer value, in cash terms, in writing. If you charge as a percentage of the transfer value, you should give the monetary value as soon as you know the transfer value but in good time before providing advice.

3.6 You need to provide both the initial advice charge and the ongoing charge that apply in the first year, if funds remained invested and the client opted for ongoing services. If you have different ongoing servicing levels, you must state the charges and a description for each of the different levels. You should ignore any growth in the funds but you should reduce the transfer value by the amount of the initial charge when providing an estimate of ongoing charges.

3.7 Where advice on the transfer and the destination will be provided by 2 different UK firms, the personalised charges must include both sets of charges. If related advice or services will be provided outside the UK regulatory regime, for example, by an overseas adviser, the amounts of remuneration do not need to be disclosed for those elements. But there should be a statement that indicates that additional amounts may be payable.

3.8 You may give a client a personalised charges communication based on contingent charging if you have reason to believe that the client is eligible for one of the carve-outs. But you must also state why you think the ban does not apply and that the situation could change if further analysis indicates that the client does not meet the test for the carve-out.

### Good Practice – personalised charges disclosure

Dear Mr Brown

Thank you for your email confirming your transfer value of £400,000. I can now confirm that our charges for advice would be:

- **Initial advice:** £4,000 (1% of transfer value)
- **Ongoing advice:** £247.50 each month (0.75% of funds under management)

In the event of a transfer, we have assumed you will pay the initial advice charge from the transferred funds, when calculating the ongoing advice charge. Ongoing advice charges will vary with the size of the fund.

Please let me know if you would like to proceed.
Q8: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should disclose charges for DB transfer/conversion advice before giving advice? If not, please explain why.

**Triage services**

*This section refers to the following rules and guidance: PERG 12.6, PERG 12 Annex 1, COBS 19.1.11G.*

3.9 Triage services are a form of guidance to give the customer enough information about safeguarded benefits and flexible benefits to enable them to make a decision on whether to take advice on a conversion or transfer of pension benefits. So triage services should not be delivered in a way that steers a consumer towards a specific choice.

3.10 DB transfer advice only has 2 possible outcomes: to retain or transfer an existing safeguarded pension. So if you find out about your client’s circumstances and give guidance such as ‘you should get DB transfer advice’, consumers can easily perceive this as advice to leave a scheme. Your triage service should educate potential clients so they can make an informed decision whether to proceed to full advice on a potential transfer. Clients who have been through a triage service can have better quality conversations with you if they decide to proceed to advice. Those who choose not to proceed avoid paying advice charges unnecessarily.

3.11 Some firms do not deliver formal triage services. But the information they give may still influence a client.

**Poor Practice – informal triage**

An active member of a DB scheme approaches a firm for DB transfer advice. The firm tells the potential client that it can’t give DB transfer advice unless the member opts-out and stops being an active member of the scheme. The firm does not give any positive advice but communicates in a way that gives the impression to the member that it would be acceptable for them to opt-out without highlighting any of the risks to them.

As a direct result of the firm’s comments, the member reasonably considers that they should opt-out so they can take DB transfer advice. The client has made the decision to opt-out and give up accrual of future benefits based on the comments from the firm and the firm has not taken sufficient reasonable steps to prevent this harm occurring. Where the client acted reasonably in reliance on the firm’s comments the client could bring a claim against the firm for losses arising out of the opt-out.

3.12 An appropriate way for you to offer triage is to give standardised triage content to every customer. This ensures their personal circumstances are not influencing the content you are providing. This does not prevent you from changing the way you deliver the information, depending on your client’s knowledge and experience. This approach is particularly helpful when an existing client asks you about giving up a DB scheme. In these circumstances, you may find it difficult not to let your prior knowledge of the client influence the information you give them.
Good Practice – triage services and existing clients
To prevent their knowledge of a client influencing any initial discussions/triage, a firm directs clients to an unconnected third-party triage service. The firm undertook due diligence on the third party to satisfy themselves that it limits its guidance to helping the consumer understand the different options available to them.

3.13 Triage services should cover the workings and features of both DB and DC schemes and how the risks and benefits compare. So you might want to provide:

- the pros and cons of each type of scheme
- a summary of the sorts of consumers who might typically benefit or not benefit from a transfer but without personalised references to the consumer’s own circumstances
- information about the FCA view that most consumers are best advised to stay in a DB scheme, and that the FCA expects you to start advising from a position that a transfer will not be suitable
- circumstances when you will decline to do business
- the difference between abridged advice and full advice
- the initial and ongoing costs involved

Good Practice – declining to do business
A firm’s website sets out the circumstances where it will give advice clearly and objectively:

If you meet all the following criteria, we can give you DB transfer advice:

- transfer value of £300,000 or more
- at least 50-years-old
- under 50-years-old if your life expectancy is severely limited
- you are resident in the UK

We will not arrange a transfer for you if we recommend that you should remain in your scheme.

Poor Practice – declining to do business
A firm assesses the likelihood of a transfer proceeding before deciding to put a client forward for advice. The assessment is based on a set of unpublished criteria that the firm applies subjectively, based on the client’s personal circumstances, attitude to risk and need for income or capital.

The firm tells the client that they will not advise them as their personal circumstances don’t meet the criteria.

3.14 At the end of the triage service, the consumer decides for themselves whether they want to go through the abridged or full advice process.
3.15 You should present information factually, without making judgments, to ensure that triage services do not become regulated advice:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balanced</th>
<th>Unbiased</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You should give information on the features of both DB and DC schemes</td>
<td>You should not put disproportionate focus on any specific aspect of a DB or DC scheme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factual</th>
<th>Non-personalised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You should present information factually without commenting on the value of it</td>
<td>You should provide information that is generic and not personalise it to the client’s circumstances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.16 We know that many firms want to use triage services to help consumers. They think that many consumers would be spending money needlessly on advice that will show that a transfer is not in a consumer’s best interests. Given the limitations of triage services, firms may also want to consider whether they can give abridged advice as a lower cost alternative. We designed abridged advice so that advisers can help consumers and filter out those for whom a transfer is unlikely to be suitable. This could be particularly cost-effective where firms already hold personal information, for example, on existing clients.

3.17 The following, non-exhaustive list of examples indicate the type of triage service that is unlikely to meet our expectations:

- giving information on the sort of consumers who might benefit from a transfer, but failing to give information on the sort of consumers who might benefit from remaining in the DB scheme
- suggesting that a death benefit payable as an income stream or as a lump sum is preferable
- exaggerating the risks of a scheme entering the Pension Protection Fund (PPF)
- presenting the reduction in benefits provided by the PPF as a worse outcome than a transfer
- underplaying the benefits of DB pensions by failing to mention lifelong certainty of income, revaluation and indexation, or overplaying the benefits of DC pensions by over-emphasising flexibility and death benefits
- failing to mention the charges that would be incurred in a DC scheme and which would not be incurred in a DB scheme
- using judgement to indicate that a consumer may be more or less likely to benefit from a pension transfer, based on their personal circumstances

**Good Practice – triage services**

A firm directs potential clients to an online triage presentation where each client has a unique log in so the firm can monitor how each individual interacts with the presentation. The presentation is interactive and requires users to answer questions to demonstrate their understanding of the content. The firm does not contact the client again and only gives advice if the client gets back in touch and has completed the online content. The firm then uses the answers to the questions to understand each client’s level of knowledge and manage their misconceptions.
Poor Practice – triage services

A firm has no documented process for triage but spends an hour with potential clients listening to why they are seeking a pension transfer and asking questions about their personal circumstances. During the conversation, the adviser makes statements such as 'I can see why you want to transfer' or 'I think we can make this work for you'.

Q9: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should deliver triage services?
4 Advice process – information gathering and abridged advice

Starting assumption

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 19.1.6G(2), COBS 19.1.6G(3) and COBS 19.1A.11G(2).

4.1 We think that keeping safeguarded benefits will be in the best interests of most consumers. So when giving either abridged advice or full advice, we expect you to start from the position that a transfer, conversion or opt-out will be unsuitable. For full advice, you should only consider a transfer, conversion or opt-out to be suitable if you can clearly demonstrate, on contemporary evidence, that the transfer, conversion or opt-out is in the retail client’s best interests.

4.2 This chapter sets out the information you should collect about your client’s circumstances before you start your research and analysis to give suitable advice. It also sets out how you can give abridged advice, based on this information.

Know your client

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R(1) and (2), COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 9.2.3R, COBS 9.2.6R, COBS 19.1.6G and COBS 19.1A.11G(2).

4.3 Information collection is the foundation of suitable advice. If you do not get the necessary information, you must not make a personal recommendation. This is because you cannot be sure that your advice will be suitable. Many of the problems we see with DB transfer advice are directly linked to poor information gathering which results in material information gaps. **If you do not get the necessary information to assess suitability, you must not make a personal recommendation to the client or take a decision to trade for them.**

4.4 If you make a personal recommendation when you have not gathered the necessary information, you will not comply with the suitability requirements. By not complying, you risk giving your clients unsuitable advice. You should make sure that:

- you have the resources to spend enough time with each client to get to know them well enough so that the information you gather is personal to each client
- your information collection processes are good enough, even if this is a once-off piece of advice (transactional) or another firm has introduced the client
Good Practice – know your client

A client approached a firm for advice on transferring out of their DB scheme. The client gave only limited information about their financial circumstances and refused to give information about their other assets. The firm declined to advise.

4.5 You must get the necessary information to give suitable advice and you must demonstrate that you know your client well enough to:

- give advice on the effect of the potential transfer away from the safeguarded benefits, and
- where relevant, allow you to recommend the scheme and investments that your client’s funds would be transferred to, if a transfer proceeds.

4.6 If you use the same documents to get client information for a DB transfer case as, for example, for giving advice on an individual savings account (ISA), it is likely that your processes are inadequate. For DB transfer advice, you will need to gather a broader range of information, and in more depth. This is because you are advising on giving up valuable safeguarded benefits on which a client might rely throughout their retirement. Not only do safeguarded benefits have very different features to normal retail investments, they will generally be only one part of a consumer’s overall retirement provision. So you need to dig deeper into each consumer’s circumstances to ensure you have enough information to give suitable advice.

4.7 The type of information you need to gather covers key areas such as those in the non-exhaustive list below. You need to gather information on the client’s:

- personal and family circumstances, including their health
- financial circumstances, including their income and current and future outgoings
- other assets, including pension provision
- knowledge and experience of transferring and of investing
- attitude to transfer risk, to cover their appetite for giving up a guaranteed safeguarded income for a flexible one with no guarantees
- attitude to investment risk, to cover their risk profile and their capacity for loss, to determine a possible destination investment strategy
- objectives and needs, including any relevant dates and amounts needed to achieve these

4.8 While you should be able to find out basic facts easily, much of the detailed information you need will be more personal. If you do not collect the detailed information that you need to give advice, your file is likely to have material information gaps. So the questions you ask and the way you ask them will influence the quality and depth of the information you collect. For example, when discussing needs and objectives you should use different question styles. This will enable you to gather information to make judgements about the importance of these to your client. Open questions such as ‘How would you…?’; ‘Why do you want…?’ and ‘What is more important…?’ can produce more detailed answers and reduce the potential for leading questions.
Good Practice – asking open questions

As well as trying to understand a client’s motives for a transfer, an adviser would try to find out the implications for the client if they did not recommend a transfer: ‘If, at the end of the process, we consider that a transfer isn’t the right thing for you, what would that mean for you?’ The adviser considered that as well as letting the client know that their advice may not be a recommendation to transfer, the question helped them better understand how much the client’s objectives, such as early retirement, were a ‘want’ or a ‘need’.

Q10: Do you agree with our initial expectations on how firms should approach the advice process and the scope of know your client information?

The client’s personal and family circumstances

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R(1) and (2), COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 9.2.3R, COBS 9.2.6R, COBS 19.1.6G and COBS 19.1A.11G.

4.9 The better you know and understand your client, the more suitable the advice you will be able to give them. So you should not only get hard facts such as age and occupation, but also soft facts such as feelings and opinions. For example, you should find out how they or their partner feel about guarantees, managing investments or facing the risk of stock market crashes. These discussions help you to understand your clients’ hopes and fears for retirement, how they make decisions and how they got to where they are now.

4.10 As DB and DC pensions can benefit dependants in different ways, you need to find out about the client’s family. This will include details of their marital status, children etc and whether finances are being considered jointly with the spouse.

4.11 You should also consider the client’s health as this is an essential fact about the client. You should consider both lifestyle factors, such as smoking, drinking and exercise, as well as more serious conditions so you can assess whether the client has a life-limiting condition. This will be based on relevant medical evidence and reports, as well as the client’s own comments. You should also find out if health issues could lead to additional expenditure.

4.12 Clients sometimes fear they will die early if their own parents died relatively young. Family health history is relevant, but it’s the client’s own health and longevity you are looking at – not their parents. Life expectancy and medical treatments have improved. A client may not necessarily inherit a parent’s health condition, where these are not genetic, and may not have the same working conditions. You should manage your client’s expectations so that they are better able to understand your advice in due course.
Good Practice – gathering personal information

- Aged 52 and started out as a car mechanic before moving to building cars at the car plant; subsequently progressed to quality controller.
- Marital status: Married for 5 years to Marie, a nurse in the NHS.
- Children: 4 children from former marriage, shared parental responsibility for dependent children:
  - Claire, 26, a teacher, financially independent and engaged to Kwame with a wedding planned for 2 years’ time
  - Toby, 21, just graduated and due to start work in a graduate role, but has substantial student debt
  - Oliver, 17, accepted a provisional university place with bursary from the armed forces
  - Sophie, 15, still in school and hoping to go to university
- Health: mostly good but slightly overweight and suffered knee problems in the past; tries to play 5-a-side football once a week and is a summer cyclist.
- Both parents still alive but showing signs of frailty.
- Non-smoker and modest drinker.
- Not paying maintenance to former spouse.

Poor Practice – gathering personal information

- Age: 52
- Occupation: factory floor worker/car industry
- Marital status: remarried
- Children: 4 – 2 financially independent, 2 still at home
- Health: average
- Parents status: alive – possible inheritance

4.13 If you know your client well, you can better assess the relevance of the existing DB scheme benefits and make further enquiries of the scheme, if necessary. For example, some DB schemes only pay a spouse’s pension to the spouse at the date the member leaves the scheme. Some DB schemes pay dependants’ benefits to children still in education up to the age of 23. If you do not know the relevant detail, you should not advise your client, as there is a risk you may give unsuitable advice. If the detail is not on file, you may be missing the evidence you need to demonstrate a transfer is suitable.

The client’s financial circumstances

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R (1) and (2), COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 9.2.3R, COBS 9.2.6R, COBS 19.1.6G and COBS 19.1A.11G.

4.14 You must gather information about the client’s current level of income and expenditure, and anticipated changes to these up until their intended retirement date. This will give you an indication of what they will need in retirement (see paragraphs 4.41-4.43) and help you to assess how much they need from their DB scheme. To help you distinguish between the client’s needs and wants, you should consider splitting expenditure into categories. For example, you could split into essential, lifestyle and discretionary expenditure where:
4.15 You should also consider the client’s expected tax position both now and in retirement. This includes income tax and, where relevant, the use of personal allowances, the money purchase annual allowance, the lifetime allowance, inheritance tax and capital gains tax, where relevant.

Good Practice – understanding expenditure

A firm collected detailed expenditure that showed what a couple was spending on their existing lifestyle and what the survivor would need. The firm showed how the expenditure was expected to change at their selected retirement age, and again as the clients aged. It then collated the detailed information into categories that it used to identify essential, lifestyle and discretionary expenditure. The firm collected information in a form that clearly showed expected changes in the clients’ expenditure on retirement. It also collected information on the frequency of ad hoc purchases.

The client’s other assets, including other pension provision

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R(1) and (2), COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 9.2.3R, COBS 9.2.6R, COBS 19.1.6G and COBS 19.1A.11G.

4.16 You must gather information on the client’s other assets (including value, tax wrapper, maturity date, investment profile) including all pension arrangements and other sources of income such as state pension. Where the advice is based on joint finances, this information will need to include the spouse’s assets and sources of income. You will need to find out about any other assets they may be able to rely on in retirement such as income from buy-to-let property. You should take care when considering possible inheritances, for example, how these might be impacted by long term care needs. Finding out about your client’s other assets may also help in assessing their knowledge and experience.
Good Practice – gathering detail of client’s other assets

- Mr B: Has 2 deferred DB pensions:
  - scheme 1 is currently worth £8,500 a year, with a protected retirement age of 62
  - scheme 2 is currently worth £1,500 a year
- Mr B: scheme 3, a current workplace pension, has a fund value of £185,000, invested in default arrangement, not contributing enough to maximise employer contribution or to reduce tax below upper tax band level, despite spare income.
- Mrs B: a deferred member of scheme 4, currently worth £4,500 a year.
- Mrs B: currently contributing to scheme 5, default arrangement, standard minimum auto-enrolment contributions.
- Joint with-profits mortgage endowment, arranged by mortgage adviser, projected to pay £30,000 pounds in 3 years’ time – earmarked for special anniversary holiday.
- Expected state pensions worth a combined £15,000 a year due from their 66th birthday.
- Cash ISAs worth £55,000.
- Other miscellaneous savings in bank accounts of £20,000.
- Mr B: possible inheritance of around £80,000, parents both alive and currently in late-eighties but father may have to go into a care home within the next 12 months.
- Mrs B: no inheritances due.
- Mr B: a handful of shares from a demutualisation.
- No other debts.

Poor Practice – gathering detail of client’s other assets

- Client has got several other occupational and personal pensions but details not provided as we are only advising on transfer of this particular DB scheme.
- Wife has modest pensions from her current and previous job.
- Both expect full state pension but there are no estimates on file.
- Small amount of other assets held.

Q11: Do you agree with our expectations on how firms should collect information on the client’s personal and family circumstances and their assets and other pension provisions when giving DB transfer/conversion advice? If not, how would you amend the guidance?

The client’s knowledge and experience of transfers and investments

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R(1) and (2), COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 9.2.3R, COBS 9.2.6R, COBS 19.1.1CR, COBS 19.1.6G and COBS 19.1A.11G.

4.17 Before making your recommendation, you must find out whether your client has the necessary knowledge and experience to understand the risks involved in transferring their DB pension, as well as their knowledge and experience of investments.

4.18 Few consumers will have experience of giving up a DB scheme or fully understand the transfer of risk from the employer to themselves. You should explore your client’s knowledge of their DB pension, their knowledge of DC pensions, the risks and benefits of being a member of both and differences between the two. You should also find out
how they feel about managing or paying someone to manage investments in a pension scheme. You may be able to do this when assessing the client’s attitude to transfer risk (see paragraphs 4.22-4.27) or when finding out about their other assets.

4.19 When advising individuals who have worked in financial services, you should still take reasonable steps to assess their knowledge and experience. You should not assume that a client who is knowledgeable and experienced in investments has relevant knowledge and experience of DB transfers. For example, bank managers are not necessarily experts in DB pensions, so you should not assume they have a clear understanding of the benefits, features and risks of a DB scheme vs the benefits, features and risks of a DC scheme.

4.20 When assessing your client’s knowledge and experience, the information you will need to gather is likely to include:

- the types of investments and services with which the client is familiar
- the nature, volume and frequency of the client’s investment transactions and the period over which they have been carried out
- the level of education, profession or relevant former profession of the client

4.21 Although a client may have held investment products, this does not mean they have appropriate knowledge and experience. For example, many consumers have held with-profits mortgage endowments but they may not have understood the shortfall risks. Consumers also have misunderstandings about workplace pension schemes (WPSs). Many do not realise that the value of their pension can fall as well as rise and do not know the charges they pay. You should ask questions to get enough information to understand if your client passively invests, for example in a default fund in a WPS, or takes a more active interest in their investments. You should also find out how your client has previously responded when investments have not performed as expected.

**Good Practice – knowledge and experience**

An adviser collected information on their client’s experience of other types of financial products, and relevant employment and education. They considered the client’s history with pensions and investments and whether the client had previously received advice or self-invested. They asked questions about the client’s experience of fluctuating markets. They discussed their analysis with their client and confirmed that the client was happy with their assessment of their knowledge and experience. Where there were gaps in the client’s knowledge of their DB scheme, the firm gave an explanation in layman’s terms about the benefits, features and risks of DB and DC schemes.

**Poor Practice – knowledge and experience**

A firm asked about a client’s previous experience with investments and found out that the client had experience with building society deposits, bank savings accounts and cash ISAs. There was no evidence on file the client understood or had active experience of investing in assets that could fall in value.

The suitability report stated: ‘We established that you have some knowledge of investments, having previously purchased building society deposits, savings accounts and cash ISAs.’
Q12: Do you agree with our expectations on how firms should find out about the client’s knowledge and experience when giving DB transfer/conversion advice? If not, how would you amend the guidance?

The client’s attitude to transfer risk

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.2R(2); COBS 19.1.6G(4)(b) and COBS 19.1A.11G(3)(b).

4.22 Most consumers do not have experience of giving up DB benefits and do not fully understand the transfer of risk from the employer to themselves. You need to find out how the client feels about giving up a certain lifetime income in exchange for flexible benefits.

4.23 When you find out your client’s attitude to the transfer risk, you are assessing the client’s behavioural and emotional response to the risks and benefits of giving up guaranteed benefits. You need to ask your client fair, clear and not misleading questions to understand what it means for them personally. You need to assess your client’s attitude to the features of safeguarded and flexible benefits and understand their attitude to managing money.

4.24 Standard risk profile questionnaires usually only assess the client’s attitude to investment risk. If advisers use these types of questionnaires, it is likely they will not have properly assessed attitude to transfer risk. As well as the specific factors that advisers should consider when assessing attitude to transfer risk, as set out in the Handbook, you should also consider using:

- A range of balanced questions so that you get a spread of responses from different clients. If most of your clients answer each question in the same way, it is likely that you need to review the questions that you ask.
- Language that is not biased so that you do not steer the client towards answering in a certain way. If you ask, ‘do you want flexibility and control?’ you are unlikely to get enough information to understand whether your client is more suited to safeguarded benefits or flexible benefits.
- Open questions that will provide detail. If you ask, ‘how would you feel if your pension pot didn’t grow as expected and you had to take less income from it so that it would last?’ your client is more likely to explain what is important to them. If you use realistic examples of what might happen to their level of income or sustainability of income if markets fell, say 10% or 20%, you will get even greater detail about your client’s likely behavioural response to transfer risk.

4.25 You should assess whether your client is comfortable managing their own money over a potentially long period of retirement. Some clients may prefer to delegate this responsibility to an adviser. You should include a simple and clear explanation of the types of costs and charges the client would need to pay if they transferred. For example, your client should know the likely total costs each month of a flexible arrangement.
4.26 After reviewing your client’s responses, you should be clear about your client’s attitude to transfer risk. You should form an opinion whether the client is best suited to a safeguarded benefit scheme or a flexible benefit scheme.

4.27 A client is less likely to have the required attitude to transfer risk where they want certainty of income in retirement and/or do not want to manage their investments or pay for advice on investments. A client is more likely to have the required attitude to transfer risk where they do not want any restrictions on their ability to access funds and/or want to manage their investments or pay for advice on investments.

**Good Practice – assessing attitude to transfer risk**

A firm has created a number of questions to deal with the 7 points listed in the Handbook guidance on attitude to transfer risk. It asks the client these questions at various points during the fact finding and risk assessment process. For example, when trying to find out if the client would be likely to access funds in an arrangement with flexible benefits in an unplanned way, it asks questions about spending patterns when collecting expenditure information from the client. So the firm might find out how the client and their partner manage their money and plan for major expenditure. If they see large credit card or store card debt, they will ask about the history of the debt and if there is a tendency for impulse purchases.

**Poor Practice – assessing attitude to transfer risk**

A firm is advising a consumer who meets the test for the serious financial difficulty carve-out from the ban on contingent charging. The client has a long history of gambling and has significant levels of debt. The client, now 55 and still working, is seeking a transfer to access the pension commencement lump sum (PCLS) and pay off the debt. The firm fails to ask questions about the client’s relationship with gambling, including whether the client has ever tried to give up gambling and their current willingness to give up gambling. If the client was to transfer, there is a risk that the client might be tempted to access and spend the remaining funds on gambling, resulting in long term poverty once the client retires.

**The client’s attitude to investment risk**

*This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R(1) and (2), COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 9.2.3R, COBS 9.2.6R, COBS 19.1.6G(4)(c) and 19.1A.11G(3)(e).*

4.28 We have previously set out our expectations on assessing your client’s attitude to investment risk, focusing on their willingness and ability to take on risk. This section focuses on how you consider the information in the context of DB transfer advice, along with their capacity for loss. Capacity for loss is defined as the customer’s ability to absorb falls in the value of their investment.

4.29 Retirement income paid by a DB scheme will generally not fall in value and, in most cases, will have some inflation-linking. Swapping that certainty of real income for an asset that can fall in value, and the risk of a subsequent real reduction in income, is particularly relevant when considering a transfer to flexible benefits. You should be better able to assess your client’s capacity for loss if you:
• understand their essential income needs in retirement as well as their desired lifestyle and discretionary expenditure
• can identify how far the DB pension meets these different expenditure levels compared to the client’s other assets
• can identify the shortfall or increase in income that a transfer could create and assess the impact on their finances

4.30 It’s unlikely that asking your client if they are prepared to take a fall in income of a specific percentage is enough to understand their capacity for loss, but it can inform your assessment of their attitude to investment risk. Alongside your assessment, you can explain to your client how an unrecoverable fall in asset values may affect the income they can take and the effect on their lifestyle in retirement. They may then be able to take a view on the extent to which they are prepared to give up income.

**Good Practice – capacity for loss**

As well as assessing each client’s financial capacity for loss, a firm considered their client’s degree of comfort with accepting loss. Although Ms Singh could manage by withdrawing £200 less each month, she did not want to cut her income by more than £100 each month. So her investment risk profile was based on the lower of the level of loss that she could afford to suffer and the level of loss that she was willing to accept.

4.31 If your client has a low attitude to risk and limited capacity for loss, the DB transfer advice you give them could be quite different to the investment advice you would give them if they were investing a new sum of money. This is because a DB scheme that will meet their income needs is already well suited to a client with a low attitude to risk and limited capacity for loss.

4.32 When giving DB transfer advice, you need to consider the potential for any loss of capital that would have a materially negative impact on the client’s standard of living. You should assess whether a transfer is appropriate at all, especially compared with the relative safety of a DB scheme. A client’s DB pension often makes up most of their retirement income other than state pension. The more reliant a client is on their DB benefits, the lower their capacity for loss will be.

**Q13:** Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should assess attitude to transfer risk and attitude to investment risk for DB transfers/conversions?

---

**The client’s objectives and needs**

*This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R(1) and (2), COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 9.2.3R, COBS 9.2.6R and COBS 19.1.6G and COBS 19.1A.11G.*

**Introduction**

4.33 Establishing a client’s needs and objectives is key to shaping your recommendation. You need to have this information to give a personal recommendation. It is also necessary to help you to demonstrate the suitability of your recommendations and whether the transfer is in the client’s best interests. If you only consider the client’s objectives, without considering whether what you recommend can also meet the
client’s needs, then there is a high risk that your advice will be unsuitable. You also will be unable to demonstrate how the transfer is in the client’s best interests where you proceed to give full transfer advice.

**Client objectives**

4.34 The aim of establishing the client’s objectives is to understand their priorities, their plans and what motivates them. Objectives should not be generic, but should be personal to every client. They are likely to relate to the client’s family and intended lifestyle.

4.35 **Features of the pension freedoms are not client objectives.** If your firm gives advice based on objectives such as ‘flexibility’ or ‘control of my pension’, these are unlikely to be sufficiently personalised to enable you to provide a suitable personal recommendation, without further detail. You should challenge vague statements like these and identify the underlying reasons why your client needs or wants these features.

4.36 It is unlikely that a tick box questionnaire will enable you to understand each client’s objectives properly. While client circumstances may be similar, their plans, hopes and concerns about the future will always be unique. If you ask a client to choose from a number of generic options that most people would always agree with, this will not allow you to establish appropriately what motivates the client, unless you follow up with further discussion.

4.37 We also expect you to ensure the client’s objectives are based on sound knowledge and are factual. You should not shy away from challenging a client’s objectives if they are unrealistic or based on false information. For example, you should provide factual information if a client states ‘going into the PPF will mean that I will lose most of my pension’.

4.38 Your client may have conflicting objectives. Where there is conflict, you should try to resolve the conflict between those competing objectives when forming your recommendation. You should explain this prioritisation to the client and explain the compromises needed. Sometimes, this will mean giving them a message they do not want to hear. For example, if a client wants to retire early and draw income, the fund will be depleted sooner. This means that another objective, for example, maximising the potential pension legacy they pass to their dependents on death, may not be met.

4.39 You should explain these trade-offs clearly to your client. With your help, they can prioritise what is important to them, which will help you make a suitable recommendation to them. Your role is not to take orders. You need to make sure your client understands what they can realistically achieve.
Good Practice – examples of establishing client objectives

- ‘To what extent are you prepared to live on a lower income so that you can leave assets to your children?’
- ‘How important is it for you to retire early even if this could mean running out of money later in life?’
- ‘Why do you want the higher amount of tax free cash that a flexible arrangement could offer you?’
- ‘Why do you want to draw out higher amounts of income in the early years of retirement?’
- ‘How comfortable are you with the risk of running out of money in later years, and having to potentially rely on state benefits, if you have drawn higher income from your pensions in early years?’

Poor Practice – establishing client objectives

Objectives questionnaire:
- Would you like to retire early?
- Do you want to maximise your lump sum?
- Do you want to try to increase your pension income?
- Do you want flexibility?
- Do you want to control your own money?

Most people will answer ‘yes’ to these questions if they are not made aware of the implications of taking this course of action

Advice based on the following objectives:
- Mr D: early retirement, bigger lump sum, increase pension, flexibility, control
- Mrs E: early retirement, bigger lump sum, increase pension, flexibility, control
- Mr F: early retirement, bigger lump sum, increase pension, flexibility, control

If a client is some way from retirement and has no clear idea of what they want from it, it may not be possible to advise them on a transfer, until they are closer to retirement. You should be asking the question ‘why transfer now?’ when your client’s retirement plans are unclear. Wanting to take advantage of a high transfer value is not generally a good reason on its own to transfer.

Poor practice – challenging client expectations

A client wanted to transfer for higher income as the scheme pension ‘represents about 3% of the transfer amount and I think I could get around 6% by investing the funds and adding to their value in the longer term.’ The firm made no effort to explain that the 3% figure effectively represented a guaranteed real net yield but that the hoped for, but uncertain, 6% would be a nominal yield, before charges.

The figures provided in the subsequent suitability report showed that the projected value of the transferred funds at the client’s selected retirement age would be less than the transfer value, mainly due to excessive charges. The firm failed to draw the client’s attention to the significantly lower net real yield likely on transfer and how this did not meet their objective.

Client needs

You must identify what level of income your client needs in retirement to understand the client’s financial situation. While clients may know how they want to spend their
time in retirement, they may not appreciate the level of income they need. So you need to work out what their retirement objectives mean for their income needs.

4.42 In the same way as you look at your client’s current expenditure, you may want to split this between essential, lifestyle and discretionary spending. Your client may be several years from retirement. You should use realistic and reasonable estimates based on their current expenditure and known changes before retirement. Effectively, you can consider the client’s needs, such as having enough money to meet their essential expenditure for their lifetime, as an objective itself. You may need to challenge the client’s perception of their retirement if their needs are unlikely to be met in any circumstances.

4.43 You should also explore any specific additional expenditure and its timing. This will include one-off expenditure or temporary regular expenditure. You should consider the needs of your client’s spouse, especially if they are financially dependent on the client.

**Good Practice – establishing clients’ needs in retirement**

- ‘How do you expect your current level of expenditure to change when you retire?’
- ‘What liabilities do you have and how do you plan to pay off any debt?’
- ‘How important is the need to make provision for any dependents after your death or when you are still alive?’
- ‘What is the likely timing of your expenditure and are there any expected peaks and troughs?’
- ‘What are your plans for carrying on working either on a full or part-time basis?’

**Balancing needs and objectives**

4.44 The process you need to go through broadly falls into the following stages:

1. Identify all client objectives and needs.
2. Identify where needs and objectives are in conflict, or simply cannot be achieved. The compromises will be specific to that client, rather than generic compromises.
3. Work through specific compromises with the client, documenting the reason/rationale for each decision.

4.45 Your client may want to transfer so that they can make significant withdrawals for discretionary expenditure early in retirement. That could result in a lower sustainable income that would not meet their essential needs later in retirement. You should indicate that you need them to prioritise their ‘wants’ so that their ‘needs’ can be met. Many clients may wish to treat themselves to luxury expenditure in the early years of retirement such as holidays or home improvements. They might not be so willing to do this if it meant there is a good chance they will have insufficient income later in life.

4.46 It’s unlikely you will be able to recommend a solution that meets all the client’s needs and objectives. The recommendation you make will be based on an overall consideration of whether the client can bear the risk of transfer to achieve their objectives. So you will need to help the client to prioritise their objectives, based on what you have discussed about their plans for retirement and financial situation. You will need to explain and justify how you reached your conclusion. We also expect you to explain to the client any significant disadvantages from meeting an objective. You
will need to give a full and clear explanation of the guarantees they are giving up if they transfer.

**Good Practice – balancing needs and objectives**

A client knows what they want to do in retirement but they do not understand the level of income they require to meet their essential needs. The firm uses the client’s current expenditure to quantify what they need in retirement for essentials and the additional amount they would need to achieve their objectives. The firm uses charts from detailed cashflow modelling to show the client that they will need to make compromises and challenges the client on their plans, before making a recommendation.

**Poor Practice – balancing needs and objectives**

Firm A gathers the client’s needs and objectives, prioritises them, undertakes appropriate pension transfer analysis (APTA) and then forms their recommendation to achieve the priority. Even where the analysis indicates it’s not in the client’s best interest to transfer, the firm still recommends a transfer because the client wants it. The firm believes it was acceptable for them to follow such a process as that was the objective of the pension freedoms.

Where there are conflicts, the firm ignores the client’s identified needs and forms a recommendation that simply meets the client’s objectives. The firm is unable to demonstrate that their recommendation is in the client’s best interests.

**Q14:** Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should assess client needs and objectives? If not, please explain why.

**Ceding scheme data**

*This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R(1) and (2), COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 9.2.3R, COBS 9.2.6R, COBS 19.1.6G and COBS 19.1A.11G.*

4.47 DB schemes are complex, with a wide variation of scheme benefits and rules. You will need to gather information about all the relevant scheme benefits the client would be entitled to if they remained in the scheme. This information will need to include both your client’s individual entitlements and how the general rules of the relevant section of the scheme apply to them.

4.48 You can either request the information in the form you want, ask the scheme to provide it in their usual format or ask them to provide it in the standardised format that has been developed (see Annex 1).

4.49 The volume of information is extensive but necessary. Depending on your client’s needs and objectives, you need to understand the variety of different options both within the DB scheme and, if giving full DB transfer advice, any proposed DC scheme. **You must not consider a proposed DC scheme when giving abridged advice.** You may also be advising the client on options within the scheme such as a pension increase exchange.
4.50 As well as looking at the income benefits at normal retirement age (NRA), including dependants’ benefits and inflationary increases, your client may have a focus on specific objectives such as early retirement, tax free cash or ill health retirement. This means you need to understand how the ceding scheme provides for these benefits for your client, their spouse and their dependants. You should also understand any limitations on spousal and dependants’ benefits.

4.51 You should use your best endeavours to get information on the relevant factors. Where the scheme cannot give you these, you should try to make reasonable estimates. You should draw your client’s attention to factors which you have had to estimate or which are subject to change by the trustees. For example, you may not have been given early retirement factors at all ages. You should make it clear any estimate of early retirement income from the scheme is based on estimated factors which may vary in the future.

4.52 When giving full DB transfer advice, there may be some client circumstances where it is worth exploring a partial transfer. For example, you may have a client who has the required attitude to transfer risk but does not have the capacity for loss to give up the entire DB scheme. You should find out whether a partial transfer is available from the scheme and, if so, on what terms.

Q15: Do you agree with our expectations on how firms should consider the scheme data?

Abridged advice

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2, COBS 19.1A.

4.53 Abridged advice is a short form of regulated advice that advisers can use when ‘advising on conversion or transfer of pension benefits’. It enables you to offer advice at a lower cost than when you give full advice, making DB transfer advice accessible to more consumers.

4.54 Before you start the advice process you should make clear to potential clients that it can result in only one of 2 outcomes:

- a personal recommendation not to transfer
- a conclusion that the short form of advice is not sufficient for you to make any decision about whether a transfer is suitable

4.55 You should also make clear to potential clients in advance that they would not be able to proceed with a transfer without going through a more comprehensive advice process, at an extra charge. If the outcome is inconclusive, you should not imply that taking full advice is likely to result in a recommendation to transfer. You must check if the client wants to continue to full advice and if they are prepared to accept the charges involved. If the client proceeds to full advice, you should not charge them again for work you have already undertaken that can be re-used.

4.56 As with full advice, you should start from the assumption that a transfer will not be suitable when giving abridged advice. Abridged advice includes the initial stages of the advice process that we described previously in this chapter.
4.57 The ceding scheme provides a Statement of Entitlement. You can use the information on accrued rights in the Statement to assess how well the DB scheme meets your client’s needs and objectives. You can also consider the risks of transferring and losing those benefits, as well as the risks and benefits of transferring into an arrangement with DC benefits. But you cannot assess how well a DC scheme would meet their objectives and needs as abridged advice does not consider how funds might be invested if a transfer proceeded.

4.58 Based on this limited analysis you may give your client a personal recommendation not to transfer their DB pension if you can demonstrate a transfer is unlikely to be suitable. You must provide a suitability report and, as with any personal recommendation, you are responsible for the advice. If you identify alternative ways your client can meet some of their objectives, for example by buying life insurance, you can offer to give specific advice outside of the abridged advice process.

4.59 You must not prepare a transfer value comparator (TVC), consider a receiving scheme or undertake appropriate pension transfer analysis (APTA) when giving abridged advice. If you include any of these, you will not be giving abridged advice and must charge appropriately.

4.60 You must not prepare a confirmation of advice for the trustees of the ceding scheme where you have only provided a consumer with abridged advice. This is because a consumer receiving abridged advice has not been given a full comparison of the benefits and risks of their DB scheme with a DC scheme, and so is not in a fully informed position.

4.61 Firms, including product providers, cannot arrange for a transfer where a consumer has only received abridged advice. It is your decision whether to offer full advice to a client who you had already recommended not to transfer under abridged advice. We would generally not expect the recommendation to change when full advice is given. If you think the advice might change if full advice is given, you should conclude that abridged advice is probably insufficient to make a decision about the suitability of a transfer.

4.62 Firms are not required to offer abridged advice. But if you decide to do so, a Pension Transfer Specialist (PTS) must carry out or check the advice. You can offer abridged advice free of charge.
Good Practice – describing how abridged advice works

A firm describes a 2-stage DB transfer advice process on their website as follows:

**Stage 1:** We start by finding out about you and your current financial circumstances. We need to know about your family, your expenditure and financial needs, your plans and retirement goals. We look at what other assets you have to meet those goals and talk to you about financial risks, including asking you to complete a pension transfer risk assessment and investment risk profiling.

At the end of Stage 1, if we can determine that you are best off keeping your DB scheme, we will write a report confirming our recommendation and the reasons for it.

**Stage 2:** If we cannot determine whether you should keep your DB scheme at the end of Stage 1, with your permission, we will undertake a comprehensive analysis of the benefits of your DB scheme and a DC scheme that might be suitable for your needs. This will include cashflow modelling of possible financial outcomes allowing for all your assets. We will also consider if there are ways of meeting your objectives without giving up your DB scheme.

At the end of Stage 2, we will write a report confirming whether we think you should keep your DB scheme or transfer. This will explain why we think the recommendation is right for you and making sure you are fully aware of all the advantages and disadvantages.

We will agree our charges with you first and won’t start either stage of the work until you tell us to proceed.

Identifying clients for the carve-outs


4.63 Usually, consumers must pay the same amount for full DB transfer advice, whether or not they proceed to a transfer. So you are not allowed to charge in a way that is contingent on the outcome. This requirement reduces the risk of firms’ charging structures influencing their transfer recommendations.

4.64 Some vulnerable consumers have specific circumstances that are likely to make accessing advice particularly valuable for them. You may use the abridged advice process as a way of identifying these individuals. You are then able to charge these consumers on a contingent basis, also known as the carve-outs from the ban on contingent charging. You can charge contingently if you can satisfy yourself that a client has the type of circumstances set out in the tests in the Handbook for either the serious ill-health carve-out or the serious financial difficulty carve-out.

4.65 Where you identify that the tests for a carve-out are met, and want to apply the carve-out, you should make this clear to the client before the advice process continues. You should also keep evidence on file indefinitely to support your conclusion. The evidence will be different for the 2 types of carve-outs.
For the **serious financial difficulty carve-out**, you should be able to evidence that the client has been unable to maintain payments on a mortgage/rent, debt repayment, council tax or utility bills for at least 3 of the past 6 months. It’s likely the client has documented debts and your analysis of their current expenditure shows they have cut out all non-essential spending. In most cases, the client should be no younger than 54.5 as a transfer would be unable to meet their need if it proceeded, as they would not be able to access the funds on transfer. But if a client is eligible to take benefits earlier from the ceding scheme and this would still be the case on transfer, then they would be eligible for the carve-out. For example, this may apply if there is a protected retirement age that could be maintained via a buddy transfer. It would also apply if the member is eligible for ill-health retirement or a serious ill-health lump sum. It is likely you would have gathered this information as part of the know your client process, as your client’s current financial situation is relevant to the suitability of advice.

For the **serious ill-health carve-out**, you need to show the client has a medical condition which is likely to reduce life expectancy below age 75 and they do not have the ability to pay for advice. You do not need to consider future medical advances. It is likely you would have gathered this information as part of the know your client process, as life expectancy and your client’s current financial situation is relevant to the suitability of advice. The medical evidence could include the client’s medical records which they are entitled to access free of charge. It could also include information they already hold about appointments or prescriptions. You may also take into consideration information generally available from reputable organisations and charities. For more severe conditions, we think it is likely a client will be receiving specialist care and a consultant is more likely to give a diagnosis. Their records and clinical reports should reflect the details and severity of the client’s condition. For terminal conditions, a DS1500 form, used to claim benefits when terminally ill, will confirm the diagnosis and life expectancy of a patient.

For both carve-outs, you need to show that the client is unable to pay for full transfer advice. To assess ability to pay for full advice, you should review copies of statements from all of the client’s material accounts and investments. We consider consumers who are claiming certain benefits are more likely to have no capacity to pay for advice. These include Universal Credit, Job Seekers Allowance, Employment Support Allowance, Income Support, Housing Benefit and Pension Credit. Where relevant, consumers should be able to prove they are receiving benefits. You should not use the carve-out if a client has available funds to pay for the advice but would prefer not to use their savings/income for this purpose. For these purposes, the availability of credit does not count as available funds.

We expect the carve-outs will be used for a very small minority of consumers.
Good Practice – serious ill-health carve-out

A firm is giving abridged advice to a 50-year-old woman. As part of the abridged advice process, the firm discovers that she has Type 1 diabetes and has run down her savings after losing her job, following a company closure. She does not have enough available funds to pay for full advice. The client has paperwork to verify her medical condition and knows from her GP that her condition is likely to reduce her life expectancy. Additional research from reputable sources, such as Diabetes UK and NICE, refers to a number of studies that make clear that life expectancy for the condition is significantly lower than average, and likely to be less than 75 at the time of giving the advice. The adviser copies the information into the file record to show how the client meets the tests for the carve-out.

Good Practice – managing carve-out policies

A firm has a clearly defined policy on how to apply the carve-outs. The policy sets out:

- that advisers should encourage consumers who think they will be eligible for the carve-out to approach guidance bodies, such as MaPS, first, where practical to do so
- where initial discussions with a client indicate there may be a case for applying the carve-out, advisers should offer abridged advice to confirm eligibility
- guidelines for advisers on gathering and retaining evidence
- requirements to record details of eligible clients in a register, including the reasons the client was eligible and the outcome of the advice

The firm reviews the policy regularly, using the information in the register to inform any changes.

Poor Practice – promoting the carve-outs and not keeping evidence on file in support of using the carve-outs

A firm uses the carve-outs as a way of attracting business. The firm’s website offers ‘free’ transfer advice to entice consumers to use the firm. The firm does not keep copies of the evidence to support its decision to apply the carve-outs.

4.70

A client who is eligible for the carve-outs is not automatically suitable for a transfer. You must go through the full DB transfer advice process to establish if a transfer is suitable.

Q16: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should deliver abridged advice and how they can identify clients who meet the tests for the carve-outs? If not, how would you change the guidance?
5 Full advice process – research and analysis

Transfer value comparator

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 19.1.3AR, COBS 19 Annex 4B, COBS 19 Annex 4C and COBS 19 Annex 5R.

5.1 The transfer value comparator (TVC) compares the transfer value offered to the member alongside the estimated cost of buying the DB scheme benefits on a risk-free basis in a DC scheme. The TVC is presented in the form of a bar chart.

5.2 To prepare the TVC, you must project the level of DB pension payable at normal retirement age (NRA), or sooner if the DB scheme offers an unreduced pension sooner, and determine the cost of buying that income as an annuity at the age it becomes payable. This value is then discounted using a risk-free growth rate after charges as, in normal circumstances, a consumer takes on no investment risk in a DB scheme.

5.3 When you give full DB transfer advice, you must prepare a TVC to give to clients.

5.4 If your client has been offered a partial transfer, you should prepare the TVC consistently with the benefits that would be transferred as part of the partial transfer. For example, the TVC chart would show the amount of the partial transfer on offer and the equivalent value of buying the partial benefits that would be transferred. If you are advising on a full or partial transfer, you should prepare a TVC for each option as the split of the transfer value and the benefits may not be consistent.

5.5 You must prepare the TVC using the prescribed basis, set out in the Handbook. The assumptions in the basis vary from month to month so you must use the correct assumptions at the date you prepare the TVC. You do not need to prepare a TVC when giving advice on giving up guaranteed annuity rates.

Explaining the TVC

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 19.1.1CR and COBS 19.1.3AR.

5.6 The purpose of the TVC is to give some context to the cash equivalent transfer value (CETV). Many consumers struggle to understand the underlying cost of a guaranteed income and some may even regard the CETV as more like a lottery win. The way you explain the TVC should help them understand the inherent value in their existing DB scheme. You must take reasonable steps to do this, even if they appear not to be interested in a guaranteed income. We consider that the TVC is a good way to start a
conversation with your client about the value of their existing benefits and help them understand what they might be giving up.

5.7 We know that many consumers exhibit present bias. This is where they value a lump sum today more than a future stream of income, even where the two are equivalent in value. So emotionally, many of them have already committed to the transfer and have plans for accessing the cash and spending it. The TVC offers you the opportunity to correct their misunderstandings and biases. You should make sure you do not reinforce their misperceptions or undermine the intent of the TVC.

5.8 If you fully understand the TVC you will be in a better position to explain it to your client. Most clients will not understand a technical explanation. For example, that the difference between the TVC and a CETV represents the capitalised cost of the difference between the assumptions used to decide the 2 amounts. You should take into account the client’s information needs (Principle 7) when considering how best to explain the TVC outcomes to your client.

5.9 If a TVC shows a loss to the client, this does not preclude a transfer. There may be good reasons why it makes sense to take this notional loss, depending on the client’s circumstances. Equally, if a TVC shows a notional gain, this does not mean that a transfer is suitable. The TVC is a good starting point for discussion and comparison ahead of an objective analysis based on the client’s circumstances. Documenting those discussions can help you demonstrate if a transfer might be in the client’s best interests.

**Good Practice – firms’ explanations of the TVC**

- The TVC shows that it would be more expensive to secure the same benefits if you transferred. This is partially due to the charges that you’d have to pay and partially due to the cost of buying guarantees. So your DB scheme is the most cost-effective way to take lifetime guaranteed benefits.
- The difference between what you’ve been offered and the cost of buying the same benefits if you transferred means you’re currently getting those benefits cheaply, especially as your contributions to the scheme were relatively low.
- You can think of the difference between the two numbers as the price of flexibility.

**Poor Practice – firm’s explanations of the TVC**

- The TVC is flawed. Firstly, there a number of FCA assumptions on what inflation and interest rates will be in the future and they do not have a trade-off for personal circumstances and needs. Also, it does not take into account current rates, or flexibility.
- The TVC is irrelevant as you don’t want an annuity.

**Q17:** Do you agree with our expectations on how firms should prepare and explain the transfer value comparator? If not, how do you think it can be improved?
Appropriate pension transfer analysis

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 19.1.2BR, COBS 19.1.2CR, COBS 19.1.2DG, COBS 19.1.2EG, COBS 19.2.2R, COBS 19 Annex 4A and COBS 19 Annex 4C.

5.10 Appropriate pension transfer analysis (APTA) is the research and analysis you undertake to determine a suitable course of action for your client, resulting in a personal recommendation. As each client is different, your APTA content should vary for each client.

5.11 You must carry out APTA when giving advice on a pension transfer from safeguarded benefits to flexible benefits, unless the safeguarded benefits are guaranteed annuity rates.

5.12 You do not need to give all the research and analysis that goes into each APTA to each client. You should include relevant APTA information to demonstrate the advantages and disadvantages of your proposed course of action, and why you have concluded your recommendation is in the client’s best interests.

5.13 The research and analysis you undertake for each client should be as unique as each client and their circumstances. If you have a pre-determined view about circumstances which are likely to result in a positive recommendation, your analysis may be biased.

Poor Practice – APTA

A number of firms considered that a transfer would generally be suitable if one or more of the following conditions were met:

- their client could improve the level of income available from their pension to any extent
- transfer values were at least a specific multiple of the revalued DB pension income
- their client had a more aggressive attitude to investment risk than the ceding DB scheme

When combined with too much focus on modelling of outcomes and the potential upside from a transfer, firms sometimes tended to give:

- too little consideration to the client’s personal circumstances
- not enough weight to the client’s attitude to transfer risk
- too little consideration to the client’s comfort in knowing their essential and lifestyle needs would always be met.

5.14 There are certain things we expect to be included within APTA. In particular, you need to assess the benefits likely to be paid and the options available under the DB scheme and compare these with the benefits and options under the proposed arrangement. We expect you to do this using fair and consistent assumptions, taking account of how benefits might be taken if a transfer proceeded. You should allow for all charges the client might incur and take account of other retirement income.
Poor Practice – APTA

A client received a suitability report with an Annex labelled Appropriate Pension Transfer Analysis. It was made up of:

- a pre-APTA transfer value analysis report, with multiple critical yields, including how the fund could be drawn down at 3 different growth rates, at early and normal retirement
- a TVC on the last page

No allowance was made for other pension provision, including the state pension. There was no consideration of alternative ways of meeting the client’s objectives.

5.15 You should also show in APTA that you have considered whether there are alternative ways of meeting the client’s objectives without transferring.

Good Practice – considering alternatives in APTA

- APTA showed that the client could meet their needs and objectives without transferring, even if a transfer would equally be suitable.
- APTA contained a summary of all the alternatives that might meet the client’s needs and objectives, with an indication of the pros and cons that supported the final recommendation.
- APTA showed annuity quotes for a client who may be eligible for an enhanced annuity, comparisons with drawdown and how well the options linked to the client’s needs and objectives.
- For clients interested in a DC scheme with enhanced death benefits, APTA contained decreasing term insurance quotes, comparisons between the pay-outs from term insurance and the value of a drawdown fund at different points in time, and a handwritten note summarising the financial impact.

5.16 It’s unlikely that there is one software package that will enable you to complete APTA for every client. You will need to pull together information from several different sources. APTA should show how you use this information to rule out some courses of actions. It should show how you reached your recommended course of action for your client, given their specific circumstances.

APTA and cashflow modelling


5.17 Cashflow models project possible future cashflows using assumptions. The assumptions can be largely fixed or based on statistical models. We do not specify that firms must use cashflow modelling in APTA but we do have requirements for those who use them.

5.18 You may want to use cashflow modelling to demonstrate to the client whether they are able to meet their needs and objectives. A cashflow model can factor in the client’s other retirement provision. It can also demonstrate the investment risk clients would be taking and when they might run out of money. Firms who use a cashflow model
should carry out projections that go beyond average life expectancy as clients may live well beyond the average.

5.19 Firms may develop their own spreadsheet models instead of buying cashflow modelling software if the model is flexible enough to meet our requirements. These include showing figures in real, not nominal, terms. This makes it clear to clients how much future income amounts are worth in today’s money terms, ie after inflation has been taken into account. Using real terms should also help you adjust tax bands and other tax limits more easily. You should stress-test the outcomes shown by the cashflow model. For example, you could illustrate the effect of a significant fall in the markets soon after a client starts taking withdrawals from a fund.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor Practice – cashflow modelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A firm prepared cashflow modelling for a client using both nominal and real terms. It used a mixture of real and nominal figures in the suitability report in a way that would support a transfer. For example, it did not highlight that the projected real value of the transferred fund at the selected retirement age would be less than the transfer value, due to excessive charges.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.20 Our rules set out the assumptions you can use, including where you can decide your own assumptions. You may use different assumptions and methodologies to those used in other documents you’ll give the client, such as a Key Features Illustration (KFI). But if you do, you should justify this and explain any differences in the outcomes shown to your client to prevent confusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good Practice – cashflow modelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A firm used cashflow modelling to show how income from the client’s different assets would start at different ages and meet their essential and lifestyle needs up to 100 years old. The firm included relevant charts in its suitability reports to show how discretionary spend might need to reduce over time, particularly if there was a market crash. The firm used the same growth rates in its cashflow modelling as those used in the KFI, so the client could see consistent outcomes for the proposed transferred monies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor Practice – cashflow modelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A firm undertook cashflow modelling but did not assume that tax bands would increase with inflation each year. This meant the firm gave the client misleading information about net income from both the DB scheme and the proposed DC arrangement. The firm used growth rates that were 2% higher than those used in the KFI but provided no justification or explanation for these. They gave the client several pages of modelling output containing tables of numbers that were not explained.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Poor Practice – cashflow modelling

A firm advised a client who was very likely to exceed the lifetime allowance (LTA) by the time he started accessing income from his pension. The firm used cashflow modelling and showed the following comparisons in the suitability report:

- The value of the projected scheme pension at retirement against the current LTA with no allowance for inflation, making the DB scheme appear a worse option than it really was.
- The current transfer value against the current LTA ignoring projected investment growth on the transfer value to retirement and the lower expected inflationary increases on the LTA. This made the DC scheme appear a better option than it really was.

Q18: Do you agree with our high-level description of appropriate pension transfer analysis and how firms should carry out cashflow modelling? If not, please explain why.

APTA and scheme solvency

This segment refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 19.1.2BR, COBS 19.1.2CR, COBS 19.1.2DG, COBS 19.1.2EG, COBS 19.2.2R, COBS 19 Annex 4A and COBS 19 Annex 4C.

5.21 We agree with the Pension Protection Fund (PPF) that scheme deficits should generally not be used as a reason to take a transfer. The PPF expects that most schemes will pay their benefits in full. As the appropriate examination standards for a Pension Transfer Specialist (PTS) do not include how to analyse employer covenants, we think it’s unlikely that PTSs are able to draw conclusions about possible PPF entry in the future. Employer covenant assessment is complex, and even experts find it difficult to predict the financial future of an employer. You should also make it clear to your client that a scheme deficit does not mean the scheme is about to enter the PPF.

5.22 You may have some clients who are nervous about the future of their scheme if their employer is in financial difficulties. In these circumstances, you may wish to model PPF benefits in the APTA to show clients how much they might receive in the worst-case scenario of their employer becoming insolvent. If you comment on these benefits, you must ensure that your comments are balanced and objective, in line with Principle 7 – clear, fair and not misleading. You must not misrepresent the benefits of the PPF, as this could potentially create unnecessary fear of the consequences of entering the PPF.
A firm advised a client on a DB transfer after he left his former employer following a takeover. The client did not believe the new backer was financially strong and wanted to transfer his benefits away from them before ‘it all went wrong’ and the scheme was forced into the PPF. The client wanted to retire before his scheme retirement age and, for this reason, did not want to enter PPF.

The firm researched the current funding position and explained the scheme was currently fully funded. The firm also corrected the client’s misperceptions about retiring early within the PPF. Using cashflow modelling within APTA, the firm demonstrated the possible outcomes within the DB scheme, in the PPF and on transfer before making a recommendation.

In most cases, the PPF will still provide a substantial proportion of a member’s guaranteed lifetime income. You should give balanced views on the benefits the PPF provides, whether or not a scheme is in surplus or deficit. You should give a fair assessment of whether the PPF may meet your client’s retirement income needs, compared to transferring and incurring the costs of transferring and managing a DC pension.

A client was considering retiring early, 3 years before normal retirement age. The client was concerned that his DB scheme would enter the PPF although was unable to point to specific evidence.

The firm told the client there were serious downsides to entering the PPF and that their benefits would be reduced if the scheme entered the PPF. It advised the client that they would be better off transferring out before this happened.

The client was not given a fair, clear explanation of the benefits of the PPF. The firm did not:

- reassure them that not all corporate activity and rumours result in PPF entry
- assess the specific impact on their benefits on PPF entry
- show that the fees payable on a flexible DC scheme would exceed the reductions in the PPF
- explain that there is no reduction to pensions if the pension is in payment when the scheme enters the PPF
- explain that early retirement is still possible within the PPF

Unsuitable advice commonly overemphasises the value of death benefits available on a transfer. When considering a client’s objective for death benefits, APTA should show:

- the need for death benefits
- the priority given to death benefits compared to the client’s other objectives
how you have compared the death benefits available from the DB scheme and the proposed DC scheme
how you have considered trade-offs between death benefits and other outcomes, given the client’s other needs and objectives, particularly income needs
how the beneficiaries of death benefits might prefer to receive them
what alternative ways you have considered of meeting their objective, for example by using excess income to buy life insurance

**Poor Practice – death benefits and trade-offs**

From a suitability report: ‘The biggest advantage with the transfer is that in the event of your death, unlike the main scheme, your wife would have access to the full fund value and a range of options from which to choose, availing yourself of a significant level of flexibility that just does not exist within the Defined Benefit pension regime.’

The suitability report did not explain that cashflow modelling showed that the fund would run out by age 74 based on the client’s preferred level of income drawdown.

5.25 In most cases, it is highly unlikely that the client will die straight after transferring. By the time they do die, it is likely that the fund will have been reduced by a 25% pension commencement lump sum payment (PCLS) and several years of income payments. This is likely to significantly reduce any value to a surviving partner. In addition, the tax advantages on death before age 75 are unlikely to benefit most consumers so you should not overplay these.

5.26 You should not automatically assume that your client needs as much life cover as the transfer value offers. So you should check what existing life insurance they have in place, including any death in service benefits, as well considering the status of other assets and pensions on death.

5.27 Where your client does have a need for death benefits, you should consider life insurance as an alternative to a transfer. Level or decreasing term insurance would be cheaper than whole of life insurance, where the client would be charged for benefits they might not need. Decreasing term insurance may more closely match the shape of a decreasing fund value, once accessed.

5.28 DB schemes generally provide death benefits as income for spouses and, in many cases, for unmarried partners. You should check the benefits available, including any eligibility criteria. You should also find out whether their spouse may prefer the secure income from a DB scheme. Where your client has children still in education, you should also check for dependants’ death benefits.

5.29 You must consider how both the DB scheme and the proposed DC scheme would provide death benefits by making comparisons on a fair and consistent basis at different points in time. So you should consider how you can compare a stream of income benefits payable on death from the DB scheme with the lump sum death benefits from the proposed DC scheme. For example, you could consider the income that could be generated from a lump sum if death occurred at different times or the discounted value of the income stream. The death benefits available from the DC pension will vary over time, due to investment returns, and the level and frequency of charges and withdrawals taken prior to death.

5.30 You must also consider what trade-offs will be made by prioritising different client objectives. For example, by prioritising income needs throughout retirement over the
provision of death benefits and vice-versa. If your client appears to have normal life expectancy and is likely to use all their pension savings in their lifetime, it is more likely that the transfer would be unsuitable.

5.31 Where a client is in ill-health, you should check the ill-health provisions of the DB scheme. Where your client is expected to live for less than 12 months, you should check the serious ill-health lump sum provisions and the lifetime allowance position. You also need to be aware of inheritance tax issues if death occurs within 2 years of a transfer.

**Good Practice – ill-health death benefits**

A single client with a terminal illness and only weeks to live wanted to transfer his pension so his adult children could benefit. There was no partner to consider for a widow’s pension. The APTA showed that the ill-health lump sum value offered by the scheme was significantly less than the transfer value. The APTA also confirmed that the total value of the client’s assets, including the transfer value, would be below the inheritance tax threshold so there would be no inheritance tax liability on death following the transfer.

**Poor Practice – ill-health death benefits**

A client with a terminal illness and very limited life expectancy wanted to transfer his pension so that his wife could benefit. They had a young child and wanted to ensure the funds were available fully even if the wife died prematurely. The firm relied on a fact find from the regulated firm that introduced the client but the file showed no further interaction with the client. There was no indication of dependants’ benefits on the file or whether a serious ill-health lump sum was available. A non-contemporary file note, added some years after the advice was given, noted that the client had died fairly shortly following the advice.

**APTA and early retirement**

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 4.2.1R, COBS 9.2.1R, COBS 19.1.2BR, COBS 19.1.2CR, COBS 19.1.2DG, COBS 19.1.2EG, COBS 19.2.2R, COBS 19 Annex 4A and COBS 19 Annex 4C.

5.32 Consumers seeking DB transfer advice often state that they want to retire early. Without further information about the underlying reasons, and when and how they intend to retire, the advice may fall short of our expectations. For example, some clients may want to phase their retirement so that they work part time for a period, then gradually reduce hours until their intended retirement date. In some cases, early retirement might be a necessity, for example, due to ill-health or financial reasons such as redundancy. But in many cases, it’s an aspiration and not always realistic. Advisers are not order-takers. You should be prepared, if needed, to tell clients that retiring early is not financially viable.
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5.33 When advising on early retirement, you should look at the options available within the ceding scheme and the proposed scheme. You should also consider any alternative ways of achieving early retirement, such as using other assets to bridge the gap until the scheme’s NRA. Your analysis should help you understand your client’s income needs throughout retirement. You should assess if meeting the objective of early retirement would come at the expense of a sustainable future income.

**Poor Practice – early retirement**

A divorced man wanted to transfer his only pension from DB to DC to retire early. The client was in good health and had no other pensions. The adviser undertook cashflow modelling in APTA that showed the funds would run out by the time the client was around 80 years old. The firm attached a copy of the cashflow modelling to the suitability report. But the suitability report itself made no reference to the funds running out so early or that the state pension would not cover his essential spending needs. The adviser recommended a transfer as ‘that was what the client wanted’.

**Good Practice – early retirement**

A client in his early 50s wanted to transfer so that he could retire early and move overseas to undertake voluntary work for a few years. The scheme’s NRA was 65 but the early retirement factors meant that the income generated from his chosen retirement age would not meet his income needs.

But the client was also a member of a workplace pension scheme and had spare income available each month. So instead of transferring the DB pension, the firm recommended that the client should make additional contributions to his workplace pension. The additional contributions also resulted in a greater matched employer contribution.

The cashflow modelling in APTA demonstrated that, even in the event of a market fall, it was likely that making higher contributions to his workplace pension scheme would enable the client to take early retirement. The workplace pension would fund his income needs until his DB pension started and provide a top up until his State Pension started. Although his State Pension could be paid to him overseas, it would not increase so the remaining workplace pension pot would also help to maintain his standard of living after state pension age.

5.34 You should always check for features in the DB scheme that may be particularly beneficial for those retiring early. For example, there may be a protected retirement age or unreduced benefits before the scheme’s NRA. If early retirement is due to ill-health, then you should explore the availability of an ill-health early retirement pension.

5.35 Usually, income available from a DB scheme would be reduced if taken early as it will need to be paid for longer. You should not present this as a penalty. It is similar to using a lower safe withdrawal rate if DC funds are accessed early. Accessing funds early depletes the pot sooner so firms should be able to show that they have considered the sustainability of the pot throughout retirement, and certainly beyond average life expectancy.

5.36 You may find cash flow modelling helps you to compare early retirement options, especially if your client has multiple income streams available at different ages. For example, you can show:
• the effect of taking early retirement income from the ceding scheme
• the effect of using another DC pot until your client reaches the ceding scheme’s normal retirement age
• the effect of topping up contributions to a workplace scheme until the selected or a viable early retirement age
• how reduced earnings from part-time work might fit in to the model
• the effect of state pensions, or delaying state pensions
• the effect of reshaping the DB income if a transfer proceeds
• how other assets, such as rental income, could be used to meet income needs

Good Practice – early retirement

A married couple approached a firm for advice on transferring the wife’s deferred DB pension which was due to come into payment soon, at age 60. The couple were already living comfortably on the husband’s DB pension and saw no need for an additional guaranteed lifetime income. They were very risk averse and did not want to be invested in funds and exposed to uncertain returns. But they did want to use the transfer value to generate an additional income until state pensions kicked in.

The APTA showed the firm considered a number of options, such as a lifetime annuity or drawdown invested in low risk funds, but ruled out most of them due to the couple’s specific circumstances.

They were advised to transfer and buy a 7-year fixed term annuity, written within a drawdown policy, with no guarantee value at the end of the term. The annuity income was more than twice that available from the DB scheme, albeit only for 7 years, but their joint state pensions would kick in towards the end of the term to replace the annuity income.

5.37 You need to carry out enough analysis to understand if your client’s objective to take early retirement is consistent with their, sometimes unstated, need to have enough income throughout retirement. You must be prepared to advise them not to transfer if their income would not meet their needs for a reasonable lifetime. You cannot recommend a transfer if doing so would not be in the client’s best interests.

APTA and PCLS

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R, COBS 19.1.2BR, COBS 19.1.2CR, COBS 19.1.2DG, COBS 19.1.2EG, COBS 19.2.2R, COBS 19 Annex 4A and COBS 19 Annex 4C.

5.38 Some clients want to transfer primarily to access a cash sum to pay off a mortgage or debt. You should first consider whether paying off these debts in full is the right thing to do. For the mortgage in particular, it may be better to continue to make repayments out of income. For non-mortgage debt, you should consider the amount and type of debt and its effect on the client’s overall financial capability.

5.39 Where you consider full or partial repayment may be appropriate, you should consider whether early retirement from the DB scheme can provide the cash/income required. The DB scheme PCLS may meet the client’s needs even though it is lower. You should consider whether it is in your client’s best interests to take the full 25% from the DC scheme, resulting in a reduced income throughout retirement.
5.40 You should also consider alternative ways to achieve the cash objective. Your client may be able to use the small pots lump sum rules to encash a small DC pot or take a partial transfer from the DB scheme (see also paragraphs 5.46-5.48).

Good Practice – accessing PCLS

A consumer wanted to transfer out of her DB scheme so she could give her daughter some cash to help buy a house. The client did not intend to retire and take income for another 10 years, although she thought she may access ad hoc sums for holidays if she transferred. As part of APTA, the firm looked at all the other assets the client had and whether these were earmarked for other objectives, and whether borrowing or acting as a guarantor was a possibility. The firm made a recommendation which took all the client’s options and her longer-term future into consideration.

APTA and workplace pension schemes

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R, COBS 19.1.2BR, COBS 19.1.2CR, COBS 19.1.2DG, COBS 19.1.2EG, COBS 19.2.2R, COBS 19 Annex 4A and COBS 19 Annex 4C.

5.41 Workplace pension schemes (WPS) offer a number of specific benefits to members that will often make them a suitable destination for a transfer:

- charges are capped in the default arrangement
- default arrangements are designed to be appropriate for all members, without members needing to take further advice on investments
- members benefit from the protections afforded by Independent Governance Committees or trustee boards

5.42 So, except in certain circumstances, you need to demonstrate why the scheme you are recommending is more suitable than a client’s WPS. This applies whether you operate on an independent or restricted advice basis. So independent firms which use panels of product providers must be prepared to recommend a workplace pension that is off-panel. In the same way, restricted firms must be prepared to make a recommendation that is outside their usual restrictions.

5.43 You can consider only the client’s most recent WPS default arrangement. You may consider any previous WPS as well, if relevant. In your APTA for each member, you should compare the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed scheme and
the relevant WPS default arrangement. We would expect to see, among other things, consideration of:

- whether your client needs a broad range of complex funds that require ongoing rebalancing, given their risk profile, and knowledge and experience of investing
- the proposed product charges with those in the capped WPS default arrangement, and how the level of charges could affect the income your client will ultimately receive
- whether ongoing advice is necessary, given these points, or whether the client is likely to be better off taking ad hoc advice when needed

**Good Practice – considering a workplace pension scheme**

A new client, who had recently changed employer, approached a firm for advice on streamlining and simplifying his pension provision, including transferring his prior employer’s DB pension. He expected to stay with his new employer until retirement in 20 years’ time. The firm completed a full know your client process, including the client’s current DC WPS.

The firm considered the client’s previous investment experience and his need for ongoing advice. The firm used APTA to compare the impact of investing in its own centralised investment proposition together with a 1% ongoing charge, and the WPS with no ongoing advice. The firm made a recommendation to transfer the benefits to the default arrangement in the client’s WPS. This recommendation met the client’s objectives and did not subject him to undue costs.

5.44 If you offer a restricted range of products or you are independent and use a panel, our rules do not prevent you from recommending a WPS or establishing agency agreements with WPS providers. If you take a commercial decision not to do so, you must not advise clients in cases where a WPS might be the more suitable recommendation. You could focus instead on giving advice to clients who have circumstances where you do not have to consider a WPS.

**Poor Practice – considering a workplace pension scheme**

A firm that offered a restricted range of products used a standard disclaimer in all its suitability reports to dismiss a workplace pension scheme as a possible destination for a transfer. The firm told us that, as it was unable to provide investment advice on workplace pension schemes more broadly, it did not consider them when giving advice on a transfer.

5.45 If you recommend a transfer where you suggest that your ongoing advice is essential to your client, you should re-consider whether recommending a transfer is the right outcome at all.
**APTA and partial transfers/multiple schemes**

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R, COBS 19.1.2BR, COBS 19.1.2CR, COBS 19.1.2DG, COBS 19.1.2EG, COBS 19.2.2R, COBS 19 Annex 4A and COBS 19 Annex 4C.

5.46 In most transfers, the member gives up all their rights in the DB scheme. A transfer is usually an ‘all or nothing’ decision. But some schemes offer partial transfers with some benefits retained in the DB scheme when the remainder are transferred out. So you should ask the scheme about the availability of a partial transfer.

**Good Practice – advising on a partial transfer**

When getting scheme data, a firm always enquired about the availability of partial transfers from a scheme, as standard. When available, the firm analysed whether a partial transfer could meet the objectives of the client, as part of APTA.

By asking if a partial transfer could be considered, even when not apparently on offer, they were able to access this as an option for several of their clients. This enabled the firm to help more cautious clients keep some of their guarantees while still having some flexibility.

5.47 DB schemes structure partial transfers in different ways. You should check how the transfer value and benefits have been split. For example, the guaranteed minimum pension (GMP) could be retained fully in the scheme or included in the partial offer. If there is a choice of partial offers, you need to consider which one, if any, is best suited to your client’s circumstances.

5.48 Where a partial transfer is not available from a particular DB scheme, but your client has multiple schemes, you may be able to achieve a similar effect by considering a transfer of one of the DB schemes. You should also consider a blended annuity and drawdown solution as an alternative to a partial transfer.

**Good Practice – advising on multiple schemes**

A client with 2 DB schemes asked a firm for advice on transferring out of the scheme with the higher benefit. After gathering information on all of the client’s other pensions, the firm recommended keeping the larger pension but transferring out of the scheme with the smaller pension. The suitability report made clear that, by taking this course of action, the client would be better able to meet their needs and objectives in retirement and could achieve the required balance of guaranteed and flexible income.

**APTA and self-investors**

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R, COBS 19.1.2BR, COBS 19.1.2CR, COBS 19.1.2DG, COBS 19.1.2EG, COBS 19.2.2R, COBS 19 Annex 4A and COBS 19 Annex 4C.

5.49 When you give DB transfer advice to a self-investing client, you still need to consider the intended investment in APTA. If you do not know the intended investment
destination, your APTA will be incomplete as you have not considered the proposed arrangement. So you will be unable to make a recommendation.

5.50 Once you know the intended destination, you should ask your client to provide the information you need to consider in APTA. For example, you need to know the underlying investment choices and charges. If you use cashflow modelling, the assumptions you use for investment returns must be consistent with the investment choices and you should include the full charges that your client will pay. You must not provide a recommendation if this information is missing as your file would have a material information gap.

5.51 As the client is a self-investor, you cannot comment on the intended investment explicitly. You still need to give appropriate risk warnings on giving up safeguarded benefits. If your APTA shows the client’s choice of proposed arrangement results in outcomes that are not in their best interests, you must recommend that your client should not transfer.

**Good Practice – self-investors**

A self-investor wanted to transfer into their WPS. The WPS did not accept transfers from DB schemes but would accept funds from a personal pension. So when giving pension transfer advice, the firm confirmed the client would first transfer to a personal pension then to their WPS, if the transfer was suitable. The firm asked the client for details of the proposed investments within the WPS. The cashflow modelling in APTA took into account the returns and charges on both the personal pension, for a short period, and the subsequent WPS.

**Poor Practice – self-investors**

A firm advised several experienced investment professionals on a self-investor basis. Each APTA contained cashflow modelling that used the same investment returns and charges for every client, ignoring each client’s intended investment choices. The suitability reports included examples of the cashflow modelling and assumptions and a standard disclaimer stating: ‘Please note that the actual charges may be higher or lower than this depending your investment choices.’

**APTA and the 2-adviser model**

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R, COBS 19.1.2BR, COBS 19.1.2CR, COBS 19.1.2DG, COBS 19.1.2EG, COBS 19.1.6AG, COBS 19.2.2R, COBS 19 Annex 4A and COBS 19 Annex 4C.

5.52 Sometimes, different advisers give advice on the DB transfer and the proposed destination, whether within the same firm or different firms. This is commonly referred to as the 2-adviser model.

5.53 If you are giving DB transfer advice as part of a 2-adviser model, your APTA for a specific client should be no different than if you were giving the investment advice on the destination. You will still need to use the relevant information about the proposed destination scheme in your APTA. The other adviser may tell you that the funds will be invested in cash initially and invested later. If you do not know the ultimate intended
investment destination then you won’t be able to determine enough about the proposed arrangement to make the comparison between the ceding scheme and the proposed arrangement in APTA. For example, you would not be able to take a view on the potential charges or the returns the investments might generate.

5.54 As the client has a separate investment adviser, you should not comment on the intended investment explicitly. If you do, you will carry some responsibility for the investment advice as well as the DB transfer advice. Your APTA may show the other adviser’s choice of proposed arrangement results in outcomes that are not in the client’s best interests. If you consider that a transfer could be suitable with a different choice of proposed arrangement, you should liaise with the other adviser.

**Good Practice – 2-adviser model**

A firm giving DB transfer advice worked with introducers who were other regulated advice firms. The introducers gave the investment advice. The firms had agreed they would have joint meetings with clients to manage the risks of communications being misinterpreted by either of the firms, or by the client.

5.55 When giving appropriate risk warnings on giving up safeguarded benefits, you should explain the risks to the client of the funds not being invested as intended by the other adviser. The other adviser may not act as you expect and there could be harm to the client. You could warn the client about the risks of remaining in cash or investing in higher risk investments than you assumed when you gave the advice.

**Good Practice – 2-adviser model**

A firm gave DB transfer advice to clients who were introduced by other regulated firms who did not hold the relevant permission. The introducers gave the investment advice. The firm carried out thorough due diligence on each introducer, had formal agreements about the responsibilities of each party and collected ongoing MI on them. They used the MI to inform their continuing use of the introducer. For example, where an introducer had a high rate of insistent clients that they could not justify, the firm cancelled the introducer agreement.

**APTA and overseas transfers**

*This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R, COBS 19.1.2BR, COBS 19.1.2CR, COBS 19.1.2DG, COBS 19.1.2EG, COBS 19.2.2R, COBS 19 Annex 4A and COBS 19 Annex 4C.*

5.56 If you are advising a client who lives overseas and wants to transfer their DB benefits overseas, your APTA needs to consider the issues that make it different to a UK pension transfer. This includes:

- the levels of returns and local inflation rates, relative to fluctuations in exchange rates
- the level of charges on overseas arrangements
- different tax considerations
• different legislative frameworks and local levels of protection, for example, the equivalents to the Financial Services Compensation Scheme

5.57 Whether you are engaging with an adviser in the overseas territory or dealing solely with your client, you will still be responsible for the DB transfer advice. This means you need to be confident you have a sufficient understanding of the relevant local market, including any applicable legislation and protection before you give advice. If you do not understand the relevant local market well enough, then you should improve your knowledge so that you can give advice or use a third-party who has the relevant knowledge.

**Good Practice – overseas transfers**

A firm specialises in providing advice to overseas clients. Its staff understand the tax treatment and rules surrounding pension contributions and withdrawals in the client’s country. This means each client’s APTA reflects these appropriately. Where the firm lacks knowledge of a certain jurisdiction, it gets sufficient information from the client’s local adviser and/or an independent expert before providing its recommendation.

**Q19:** Do you agree with the guidance and examples we have provided on how firms should approach appropriate pension transfer analysis in certain scenarios? If not, please explain why.
6 Suitability

Demonstrating suitability

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.2.1R, COBS 9.2.2R, COBS 9.2.3R, COBS 9.3.3R, COBS 9.4.4R(2A), COBS 19.1.1CR(5), COBS 19.1.1DG, COBS 19.1.3BG, COBS 19.1.6G and COBS 19.1A.9G.

6.1 If you do not collect the necessary information including, for full DB transfer advice, the TVC and APTA, you must not make a personal recommendation. This is because you cannot be sure that your advice will be suitable. If you make a personal recommendation without this information, you will not comply with the suitability requirements. By not complying, there is a higher risk that your advice will be unsuitable.

6.2 If you recommend that a client should transfer, you need to clearly demonstrate that this is in the client’s best interests, based on contemporary evidence. So you need to show how the recommendation meets the client’s needs and objectives, and why the DB scheme cannot meet them.

6.3 Your recommendation should be consistent with the information on file. Knowing your client and undertaking APTA are not ‘box-ticking’ processes but an essential part of giving suitable advice. The information you gather and the research you undertake are the contemporary evidence to demonstrate a transfer is in the client’s best interests.

6.4 So you should review the information you have gathered and follow up on any inconsistencies. This is especially important if you are operating a 2-adviser model. You need to ensure that when you give advice, it can be justified by information on the file.

Good Practice – demonstrating suitability

A firm gathers all the information needed to assess suitability. Using 2 sides of A4, it sets out the client’s reasons for transferring alongside a summary of the client’s circumstances and the outcomes from its research and analysis.

For each objective, including the level of income required, the firm compares the outcomes from staying in the scheme with the outcomes from transferring as well as any viable alternatives. For each objective, the firm sets out a brief conclusion of whether a transfer would meet the objective or not. The firm can see that some objectives are met by a transfer and some are not. By bringing together all the information in one place, the firm can consider the trade-offs and rationalise its view on the overall suitability, so that it can justify the personal recommendation in the suitability report.
Poor Practice – demonstrating suitability

A firm advised a client with a low attitude to investment risk. Information in the fact find indicated that the client would feel more comfortable with a guaranteed income and did not want to take any risks with their money. The firm recommended a transfer into a personal pension using low risk funds, assuming the client would move into drawdown.

6.5 You also need to demonstrate that your client understands the risks of the advice you are giving them. If you propose to recommend a transfer but cannot provide evidence of their understanding, then it is unlikely the recommendation is suitable. You should keep clear records of the steps you have taken to demonstrate a client’s understanding of the risks.

Good Practice – checking understanding of advice

A firm devised a bank of open questions to ask the client to check their understanding of the advice given. Only questions that were relevant to each client were used, for example, a question on the client’s understanding of how the lifetime allowance affected them was only asked of relevant clients.

The firm also asked the clients to explain what might make them regret their decision, as the firm thought this question was an indicator of the client’s degree of comfort with the proposed transaction.

The firm documented each client’s answers.

Poor Practice – checking understanding of advice

A firm used a tick box form for a client to complete and sign to confirm that they had read the suitability report and understood the contents.

Suitability reports

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.4.2AR, COBS 9.4.7R, COBS 9.4.11R, COBS 19.1.7CR, COBS 19.1.8G and COBS 19.1.9AG.

6.6 Although you may have discussed your advice with the client in detail, you must provide the client with a suitability report in good time before you undertake a transaction. For DB transfer advice, you must always provide a suitability report even where you are not recommending a transfer.

6.7 As well as setting out why you recommend the specific course of action, the report should specify the client’s demands/objectives and needs. It should also set out possible disadvantages of the course of action.

6.8 Some firms send a draft suitability report to their clients. This approach can be helpful as it means that:

a. Your client can review your advice in their own time before talking with you about their decision, and
b. You can check their understanding of the advice and the risks of proceeding with a transfer. You need to be able to evidence how you have satisfied yourself whether your client understands your advice.

6.9 You can then finalise your report to address any areas of concern. If you recommend a transfer but cannot demonstrate that your client understands your advice and the risks of proceeding, you should stop. You need to be prepared to change your advice and recommend that your client does not transfer. You should be able to evidence how you have satisfied yourself that your client understands your advice.

6.10 If the ceding scheme is being changed or replaced and you are advising on an estimated transfer value, you should only issue a suitability report in draft form. You should explain that the recommendation can only be finalised once the transfer value and changed or replacement arrangements are certain.

6.11 In your suitability report, you must set out a clear recommendation of the course of action you consider is in the client’s best interests. If you give options that leave it to the client to decide what is most important to them, you are demonstrating that you don’t know your client well enough.

**Poor Practice – unclear recommendations**

If you decide you would prefer a guaranteed income, I recommend you stay in your DB scheme. But if you would prefer to have flexibility over how you access your funds, I recommend you should transfer.

6.12 Inside the front cover of your suitability report, you must set out a 1-page summary containing certain key information. You should consider the layout of the remainder of your report carefully so there is a logical flow. Using headings can make your report more readable.

**Effectiveness of suitability reports**

This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 9.4.7R, COBS 9.4.11R, COBS 19.1.7CR, COBS 19.1.8G, COBS 19.1.9G and COBS 19.1.9AG.

6.13 You need to be sure your suitability reports help your client to make an informed decision. Suitability reports are more likely to do this if they are well presented and contain the right information.

6.14 Presentationally, your suitability reports should be:

- concise enough that your clients will want to read them
- carefully laid out, including sections with clear headings
- written in plain language that your clients will understand
- emphasise key information, so your client knows what is important
- include helpful tables or charts from APTA to break up and support the text, rather than attached as an Annex
6.15 From a content perspective, your suitability reports should be personalised so they:

- give plenty of detail about the client’s circumstances
- confirm specific, client-focused objectives and needs and the relative importance of these to each client
- provide explanations of why the recommendation meets the client’s objectives and needs, given their circumstances
- contain detailed and in-depth information on any compromises and trade-offs for the specific client
- contain only sections and clauses that are relevant to the client
- contain client-specific risk warnings, rather than generic risk warnings

6.16 A good suitability report is a coherent summary of how the client’s circumstances, objectives and needs, together with the right analysis and research, are pulled together to become a suitable personal recommendation. Your suitability report should tell the story of your client – who they are, their approach to their financial life and their hopes and ambitions for retirement. Then it should show how your advice demonstrates what can realistically be achieved and the best way to achieve it.

6.17 We have seen good suitability reports that are no longer than 10-12 sides (5-6 sheets) of A4. If your suitability reports are running at 25-50 sides of A4, you should be reviewing them. If you have 3 sides of bullets setting out the risks, the real key risks get lost. If you have a wall of words, it is unlikely to be read.

**Good Practice – suitability reports**

We try to include enough information in our suitability reports so that an independent reviewer can read it from start to finish and judge whether the advice was suitable, without ever having to go back through any of the other documents. We take the view that if they have to go back through the file documents, we haven’t done a good enough job of demonstrating suitability. So we include things like the compromises we talked about with the client and how we helped them reconcile their feelings when they were being pulled in different directions. The report has to be meaningful to the client so they can recognise themselves in it.

**Good Practice – suitability reports**

If the scheme is in surplus, or a client is not concerned about scheme solvency, we minimise the text on Pension Protection Fund (PPF) and say we can give more information if needed, as it’s not so relevant to that client.

6.18 If you are cutting and pasting text from one suitability report to another, this may create a risk that your suitability reports will be insufficiently personalised to each client. Your suitability report may not comply with our requirements if it appears to be a:

- comparison of the ceding scheme benefits with the proposed scheme benefits
- transfer value analysis report
- 50-page document, full of disclaimers, designed for a compliance tick-box approach

6.19 Suitability reports should highlight specific features of the DB scheme that would be lost if a transfer proceeded and should note any discussions about those features. The
importance of these features will vary by client. For example, dependant’s benefits would typically be more valuable to those with children still in education than to those with non-dependent children. You should also disclose features such as protected retirement ages and unreduced early retirement benefits.

**Good Practice – highlighting valuable DB features**

You should be aware that if you transfer away from your current scheme without a transfer buddy, you will lose your protected retirement age of 50. This means you won’t be able to access the fund until age 55. You have stated that, as you don’t plan to access the fund until age 55, you do not see this as a disadvantage.

**6.20** You can improve the credibility of a suitability report by using the client’s own words to describe their objectives. You undermine the credibility of a suitability report if you attribute comments to the client that they are unlikely to have made.

**Poor Practice – credible suitability reports**

A firm appeared to use the client’s own words to describe their objectives in a suitability report: ‘You told me you wanted a higher lump sum so you could paint the town red and break the bank.’ The use of the same wording in all the firms’ suitability reports made it doubtful all these clients actually said this.

**Poor Practice – credible suitability reports**

To clients with little or no knowledge of assets and investment markets:

- ‘You are considering transferring out of your final salary scheme now as you feel the transfer value is high due to low gilt yields and you don’t think this will be the case going forwards.’
- ‘You expressed a specific preference for our investment proposition because of the due diligence that goes into choosing fund managers.’
- ‘You felt the equity content of the XYZ fund was enough to give the right level of capital growth potential, and that the geographical location of the fund was more appealing than the higher risk ABC fund.’

**Insistent clients**

*This section refers to the following guidance: COBS 9.5A and COBS 19.1.7CR(1B).*

**6.21** An insistent client is a client who is given a personal recommendation by a firm but decides to enter a transaction that is different from this recommendation, and wants the firm to arrange it. With DB transfer advice, this is most likely to happen when a firm recommends a client keeps their DB scheme but the client wants to transfer anyway.

**6.22** A client may want to do this with the same firm that gave the personal recommendation or they may choose to take the confirmation of advice to a different firm. This may be because the original firm chooses not to undertake business with insistent clients or because the client is being advised by 2 advisers. Self-investors may also ask a provider to arrange a transfer. In these cases, although the client does not meet the definition of an insistent client, the firm that arranges the transfer must find out if the client is acting against advice. They must also ask the client if they
understand the consequences of acting against advice. If the client does not, the firm must refuse to arrange the transaction and refer them back to the firm that gave the advice.

6.23 You should always give suitable advice which is clear to the client, whether or not they initially seem likely to be an insistent client. When you give suitable advice not to transfer, it must be clear, fair and not misleading. It should give enough information for the client to understand why it is not in their best interests to transfer. You should not tell them, for example, that the FCA has told you to tell them that they should remain but that if you could, you would advise them to transfer. This would not be clear, fair and not misleading.

6.24 If you choose to use the insistent client process, you can be still liable for redress to an insistent client if you are found to have given information in a way that was not in their best interests and is likely to have caused them to disregard your advice and transfer.

**Poor Practice – insistent clients**

A firm advised a client who wanted to retire at age 60, 5 years before the scheme’s NRA. The firm advised the client not to transfer but the client insisted on transferring anyway. The scheme offered unreduced benefits from age 60 but these were just mentioned in passing in the suitability report. The firm did not highlight the role that unreduced benefits from 60 could play in helping to meet the client’s objectives in either the suitability report or in the insistent client documents. As the firm did not give the client clear information about the unreduced benefits, the client was not in an informed position to decide whether to proceed as an insistent client.

6.25 Once you have given your advice not to transfer and your client has said they still want to transfer anyway, you should follow the insistent client process. This means you should reiterate that you do not recommend a transfer and transferring will not be in line with your personal recommendation. You should give reasons why the proposed transfer goes against your recommendation. You should highlight the risks of proceeding and state why you did not recommend that course of action. You need to do this in a way that the client can understand – clients have different information needs and different ways of taking in information.

**Good Practice – insistent client information needs**

A firm had taken a business decision that it would act for insistent clients. But one client who was insisting on a transfer refused to acknowledge the risks associated with transferring against advice and simply reiterated that it was his legal right to transfer. The firm considered that they could not demonstrate that the client was making an informed decision and refused to arrange the transaction.

6.26 You should get an acknowledgment from your client that the transaction is not in line with your personal recommendation and is being carried out at their request. Where possible, this should be in the client’s own words. It is unlikely that using a standard form would be sufficient. A letter or an email is more likely to demonstrate your client’s intentions to proceed against advice.

6.27 You should keep records of the process you followed. These records should document the discussions with your client about their reasons for transferring, the risks of not following your advice and why they decided to proceed despite those discussions.
You need to be able to demonstrate that you gave the client strong warnings about acting against your advice. The warnings should be given in a way that your client can understand. You should record how your client reacted to the warnings.

**Poor Practice – insistent client process**

A firm recommended not transferring a DB pension to a significant proportion of clients but they virtually all became insistent clients. Several years later, as part of a redress exercise, the firm contacted a number of clients, many of whom recalled their adviser verbally telling them things like: ‘I have to say don’t transfer but you’ll be fine to do so’.

6.28 If the insistent client proceeds to transfer against advice and asks for further advice on the destination for the funds, you should make clear to them that this personal recommendation is distinct from, but does not affect the conclusions of, the initial personal recommendation not to transfer. You should not give information which suggests that the client loses protections by acting as an insistent client.

**Poor Practice – insistent client process**

A firm acting for an insistent client provided a second suitability report stating that the client had been reclassified as an ‘insistent client’ rather than a ‘retail client’ and implying that this might affect their ability to complain to the Financial Ombudsman Service. As insistent client is not a regulatory category of client and does not restrict the right to complain, this information is potentially misleading and the report has not met the requirement to be clear, fair and not misleading.

**Record keeping processes**

*This section refers to the following rules and guidance: COBS 4.11.1R, COBS 9.5.1G, COBS 9.5.2R, COBS 9.5.3R, COBS 9.5A.6G, COBS 9.5A.7G, COBS 19.1.7CR, COBS 19.1A.9G and SYSC 9.1.*

6.29 Firms must keep records relating to DB transfer advice indefinitely, whether advice was given or a transfer was arranged on an execution only basis. We have previously said that we believe the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) does not impose requirements which make it difficult to comply with the rules in our Handbook. Often, consumers make claims about DB transfers many years after the advice was given. Professional indemnity insurance (PII) providers have said that claims are typically made 7 or more years after the advice was given. As memories fade with time, detailed records will show the steps you took when you gave advice, arranged for them to give up their safeguarded benefits and made arrangements for a new pension scheme.

6.30 The advice may be reviewed after several years, whether by the Financial Ombudsman Service or by us. The file assessor wants to see the entire process that was followed at the time. The file should not only contain obvious documents like know your client information, risk assessments and suitability reports. It should also contain records of all the research and analysis you undertook. As well as any notes or recordings (if relevant) of phone calls and meetings with the client and any office process notes.
### Good Practice – managing conflicting client objectives

A firm keeps file notes, recording its individual conversations with clients. The file notes include documenting how the client reacted to conversations about what is most important to them, including how they would feel if they only had their state pension to fall back on, if relevant. The file notes for each client are as unique as the client themselves.

#### 6.31

An assessor wants to build up a picture of the client at the time the advice was given. So they need to see the processes you went through before making an assessment on the outcome. When a file is reviewed by the ombudsman service, the case handler will assess whether the advice you gave was suitable, as part of their role to determine what is fair and reasonable in all the circumstances. The ombudsman will take into account relevant legislation, our rules, guidance and standards, codes of practice and what the ombudsman considers to have been good practice at the time.

When we review a file, we assess whether the advice you gave was suitable. We also assess whether your process complied with our rules. Based on discussions with the ombudsman service, we consider that our approaches should result in consistent outcomes as long as all the necessary information is available.

#### 6.32

Sometimes we see files where an adviser has not gathered all the necessary information about the client to give suitable advice. We are likely to consider these files as ‘not compliant’ as they do not meet our requirements. You can reduce this risk by recording more information, rather than less, to substantiate the conclusions you came to. Keeping good records will put you in the best possible position to defend your advice.

**Q20:** Do you agree with our explanations of how firms should demonstrate suitability? If not, please explain why.
### Background

**7.1** Our past consultations have shown that firms can face difficulties in getting timely and accurate data from DB pension schemes when they are advising a client on a possible transfer. The Pensions Regulator (TPR) told us that scheme administrators have sometimes been frustrated by some requests for excessively detailed information.

**7.2** Following extensive feedback from across industry, we worked with TPR to develop a prototype of a standard template for collecting scheme data. The Pensions Administration Standards Association (PASA), assisted by the Professional Finance Society and the Personal Investment Management and Financial Advice Association, then developed the project and rolled out a scheme template, a member template and guidance on their websites in July 2019. They encouraged both members and non-members to use it on a best practice basis and then collated users’ feedback. PASA recently consulted on the use of the industry template and the introduction of a voluntary code of practice.

**7.3** We are now formally consulting on a form of the template, based on the feedback received so far. This template (see Annex 1) is slightly different to PASA’s version. Ours focuses on the information we think advisers need to get to give advice, rather than the way scheme administrators should provide the information. We have discussed this with PASA and TPR and agree that advisers should still accept the scheme data information if it is provided on the PASA template, or in any other form. In most cases, we expect that when advisers use this template, they should be able to carry out the necessary comparisons and analysis required under our rules and guidance (see chapters 4 and 5).

**7.4** The template is intended to cover most of the benefits found in schemes. Inevitably, schemes will have some non-standard benefits that may need further clarification. This means using the template will not eliminate all contact between firms and schemes. We consider it should streamline the process of gathering scheme information and avoid the need for firms to make repeated requests to schemes. This should help to avoid lengthy delays which can mean that the 3-month guarantee periods on cash equivalent transfer values (CETVs) sometimes expire.

**7.5** This section is not guidance in itself and will not form part of any subsequent Finalised Guidance.

---

**Q21:** Do you agree with the guidance in the template in Annex 1?
8 Employer/trustee factsheet

Background

8.1 Where an employer or trustee is thinking about giving advice and help to their employees on their workplace pension scheme, or other aspects of their financial affairs, they will generally not need to be authorised by the FCA. We and TPR have previously produced a factsheet to set out what help employers and trustees can provide on financial matters without having to be regulated.

8.2 Stakeholders have told us that many employers and trustees are still worried about how the regulatory boundaries apply when they are trying to help members of DB schemes. Their concerns include how they can appoint advisers to give advice to members on giving up safeguarded benefits in DB schemes, without being seen to be making arrangements for investments for commercial gain. We have also been told that employers and trustees are confused about how our perimeter guidance applies if they signpost employees to specific product providers. They also want clearer guidance on how the perimeter guidance affects their ability to appoint advice firms who are independent or restricted.

8.3 These concerns have similarities with the issues addressed in the current factsheet. So we are consulting on an updated version of the factsheet which addresses these broader issues (see Annex 2). We are taking this opportunity to update some of the other references at the same time.

8.4 This section is not guidance in itself and will not form part of any subsequent Finalised Guidance.

Q22: Do you agree with the guidance in the factsheet in Annex 2?
Annex 1
Scheme data template

DB transfer template

This template sets out the information a firm should consider collecting about the ceding arrangement to be able to give pension transfer advice. For multiple periods of service, you may need to request one set of data per period of service. Not all of the information may be relevant to every client, depending on their personal circumstances. Where schemes do not complete the template in the form below, you can accept documents in another form if they contain the necessary information. The words in italics direct you to the detail of information you should get. We encourage schemes to provide additional information that may help firms understand how the scheme rules work in practice.

1. Basic scheme details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ceding scheme name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pension scheme tax reference number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheme section included in this return</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Current funding position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date last reviewed</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets/liabilities % (using statutory funding objective)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Member details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member number</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates of pensionable service</td>
<td>Start and end dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensionable salary used to calculate entitlements at date of leaving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member’s normal retirement age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a protected retirement age?</td>
<td>You should get details of how any protected age is applied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Transfer value and scheme basics

| CETV offered |  |
| Calculation date |  |
| CETV guarantee expiry date |  |
### CETV provision

You should find out how often CETVs are available, how much subsequent CETVs cost and whether CETVs are available within 12 months of normal retirement age.

### Has the CETV been enhanced?

If enhanced, find out why and how much.

### Has the CETV been reduced?

If reduced, find out why and how much.

### Is a partial transfer available?

Find out the details of any partial transfers on offer.

### Is the public sector transfer club available?

### Additional pension options available within the scheme

You should find out options that are available for members staying in the scheme, such as pension increase exchange or different levels of spouse’s benefits.

### Date from which benefits were equalised for existing members

### Date from which benefits were equalised for new joiners

---

### 5. Early/late retirement factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date last reviewed</th>
<th>Early/late retirement consent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Find out if trustee and/or employer consent for early or late retirement is required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where relevant to your client, you should know the early or late retirement factors and how they are applied in practice, whether as set out below or in some other form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earliest retirement age at which factors apply</th>
<th>Latest retirement age at which factors apply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>55 60 65 70 75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Early retirement factors

#### Late retirement factors

##### How early retirement factors are applied in practice

*For example, you should understand to what age benefits are revalued before factors are applied*

##### How late retirement factors are applied in practice

*For example, you should understand how late retirement factors allow for increases in payment*
6. **Scheme retirement benefits: income**

You should get details of all benefits within the scheme, split by tranche and type of benefits. You need to know if figures are at date of leaving or some other date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual pension at date of leaving scheme (£)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earliest age at which payable as of right and without reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revaluation rate in deferment, including guaranteed minimum pension (GMP) revaluation method if relevant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of increase in payment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of tranche</td>
<td>For example, pure DB, DB with DC underpin, or pure DC (including DC AVCs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative debit amounts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You should understand the detail of:
- any discretionary pension increases that have been granted and the basis for any such increases
- any additional bridging pension or state pension deduction
- any minimum guaranteed periods
- any tranches with special arrangements due to gender equalisation changes (Barber windows etc).
- where members have benefits that can be taken unreduced from different ages, the splits of benefit, and whether the late retirement uplifts start from the same age, eg reductions before 60 but increases not until after 65
- how notional GMP benefits increase in deferment and in payment, if the member takes benefits before GMP age
- how benefits are redistributed at GMP age, if the member takes benefits before GMP age
- how GMP increases interact with non-GMP benefits
- How early/late retirement factors apply when the earliest retirement age differs from the normal retirement age
- any choices the member has over payment of pension, eg frequency of payment, commutation of own benefit for increased spouse pension, rearranging benefits for bridging pension to state pension
- ill-health pension arrangements
- whether any permitted franking is applied
- if applicable, method of equalising GMP benefits, and whether this is reflected in the CETV and the member data
- whether the scheme plans to make top-up transfer payments, once GMP equalisation is resolved

7. **Scheme retirement benefits: pension commencement lump sum (PCLS)**

You should understand how the PCLS is calculated for each benefit tranche, including:
- current commutation factors for each age
- any scheme-specific protections
- the ability to use DC AVCs to ‘fund’ the PCLS or requirements to use commutation
- how benefit tranches are commuted, eg proportionately or in a specific order
8. **Benefits payable on or after death of a member**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details of benefits payable on death before retirement</th>
<th>For example, current value of any lump sums payable and interest rate applied up to death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Details of benefits payable on death in retirement</td>
<td>For example, any guaranteed income periods, including how income is discounted and/or including future increases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You should understand all potential classes of beneficiaries with the following details, including any differences between death before retirement and death in retirement:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The scheme’s definition of each potential beneficiary</th>
<th>For example, spouse on leaving the scheme/any spouse, whether a dependant pension is payable on a discretionary basis or as of right, and whether it is payable only if there is no surviving spouse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circumstances under which benefit would/could be paid</td>
<td>For example, 50% children’s benefit only if there is no spouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis of calculation</td>
<td>For example, include whether it is based on pre- or post-commutation of member pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For spouse/civil partner: whether the benefit ceases on remarriage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level and detail of any reductions</td>
<td>For example, based on age of dependant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For children’s pension: level and detail of any minimum benefits and</td>
<td>For example, how this is shared between children or per child, whether this is paid in addition to other benefits, when does it stop being paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any benefit provision not captured above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **DC benefits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of benefit</th>
<th>For example, are the benefits AVCs or another form of DC benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVC/DC provider</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVC/DC fund value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVC/DC transfer value if different</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional non-guaranteed transfer value for AVC benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVC guarantees</td>
<td>For example, do GARs or other guarantees apply to the member’s AVCs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of DC benefits</td>
<td>For example, are any DC benefits single life?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. **Transferred-in benefits**

You should understand the benefits provided by any previous transfers in, if not included within the main data, i.e. if they are treated differently from the main scheme benefit. This includes details of how the GMP revaluation basis and reference dates differ from the main scheme benefits.

11. **Other relevant information**

You should get details of any other relevant scheme features in each section. You should also understand any member specific information, such as any pension sharing or earmarking orders, including if or how they have been accounted for in the details provided elsewhere.
Annex 2
Guide for employers and trustees on providing support with financial matters without needing to be subject to regulation

Introduction

As an employer or pension trustee, you might want to help your employees with financial matters. However, you might not be sure about what you are legally allowed to do without needing to be authorised by the Financial Conduct Authority.

This factsheet gives some information on the things you can do without needing to be authorised. It does not give a complete summary of all the relevant legislation, but we have signposted other resources that might help you further.

Authorisation

Where an employer or trustee is thinking about helping their employees with their workplace pension scheme, wider retirement planning, or other aspects of their financial affairs, they will generally not need to be authorised by the FCA.

An employer or trustee will only need FCA authorisation if they are in the business of providing regulated advice and if they receive a 'commercial benefit' for helping their employees. A 'commercial benefit' could take several forms but the most obvious would be where the firm that provides a financial product offers the employer commission or a reduction in their commercial insurance premiums. Where a firm’s pension and benefits package results in a more motivated or productive workforce we would not view this as a commercial benefit that would trigger the requirement for your firm to be FCA authorised.

Employers and trustees are not generally in the business of giving regulated advice and do not normally receive any commercial benefit for giving their employees advice or helping them with pensions or other financial matters. So in most cases employers should be able to help their staff without needing to be authorised.

Communicating information about pensions

The most likely area where employees may ask their employers or pension trustees for help is, of course, with their pension.

You can offer help but there are also some limitations: you cannot issue material that promotes a particular financial product. If you do, you risk making a ‘financial promotion’.
A financial promotion can only be issued by someone authorised by the FCA or approved by an authorised firm. This includes promotional material in any format.

However, there are some exemptions to the Financial Promotion Order which may allow an employer to promote a pension scheme offered in the workplace.

You can give information on the merits of participating in an occupational pension scheme, as long as that scheme is not a stakeholder pension scheme or a group personal pension scheme. This information does not come under the restrictions on promoting financial products. This is because, in an occupational pension scheme, the employees are not making investments, as the trustees are acting on their behalf.

**What counts as a financial promotion?**

Although you are restricted from making financial promotions, you can still provide some information about stakeholder pension schemes or group personal pension schemes even though these are particular financial products. Where employers or trustees simply give factual information, this does not count as a financial promotion. For example, telling staff they have the option to switch from one default fund to another default fund, without actively inviting them to make a particular choice.

However, if the employer presents factual information in a way which also seeks to promote the stakeholder or group personal pension scheme, encourage a switch, or persuade individuals to join it, this could constitute a financial promotion and would need to be approved by an authorised firm unless one of the exemptions applies. In these cases, an authorised firm would need to approve the communications, unless one of the exemptions applies. This is different from the automatic enrolment of employees into a qualifying workplace pension scheme, which doesn’t count as a financial promotion.

As well as any other help you may want to give your employees, the law requires employers to enrol certain employees into a pension scheme, give them some specific information in writing and to do this within a certain time. The Pension Regulator’s (TPR’s) website gives more details.

**Giving information about other financial workplace benefits**

Communications which promote other financial products also usually need to be issued or approved by a firm that’s been authorised by the FCA. There are some exemptions which may allow an employer, or an employer’s service providers, to promote the following products to staff in some circumstances:

- employee share schemes
- some insurance products (eg life assurance, critical illness, medical, dental and income protection insurance)
- staff mortgages, provided certain conditions are met, and
- staff loans offered to employees (eg travel card loans)
What advice should an employer or trustee not give?

Employers and trustees will probably not be in a position to give detailed advice on questions such as:

- which of the investment funds offered by the pension scheme should I choose?
- would I be better off putting my money into something instead of a pension such as an ISA or the Lifetime ISA?
- is it a good idea to transfer benefits under my old pension scheme into this scheme?

To answer these kinds of questions would need a detailed understanding of an individual’s financial circumstances and their expectations and priorities. But if you get that information and answer their questions, you could be giving regulated advice. An employer or trustee should not suggest that they are able to give such advice. If they do, and employees or scheme members act on this advice, employers or trustees may risk becoming liable for any losses. You need to be authorised by the FCA if you are in the business of giving regulated advice and are benefitting commercially from doing so. Trustees will also need to bear in mind that they have a fiduciary duty to their membership. So they will need to consider carefully whether it would be appropriate for them to offer any advice, in their capacity as trustees, to members.

What an employer or trustee might want to do

Rather than answering specific questions, an employer or trustee could instead consider how they can provide more general information and support to help staff make their own financial decisions.

You can signpost publicly available resources for information and guidance about financial matters such as the services offered by the Money and Pensions Service (MaPS) incorporating The Pensions Advisory Service, the Money Advice Service (MAS) and Pension Wise. They have published specific documents on key subjects, such as the MAS guide to pensions and retirement.

As well as your automatic enrolment obligations, you can also give employees purely factual information on their stakeholder or group personal pension scheme, as long as you don’t present it in a way which tries to promote the pension scheme or persuade individuals to join it. This means that, among other things, you can provide the Key Features Document for the stakeholder or group personal pension scheme which has essential information that staff will need to know. You could also provide factual information about an occupational pension scheme (which is not a stakeholder pension scheme or a group personal pension scheme), and this would not come under the restrictions on promoting financial products.

Information which is not about investments or financial products does not have to be FCA-regulated. So an employer can freely provide support to encourage their staff to ensure that, for example:

- their tax code is correct
- they are claiming appropriate benefits
- they have made a will
Equally, general help and information for employees on things like budgeting or comparing utility providers are outside the scope of FCA regulation and employers can provide this.

### Safeguarded benefits

Employers and trustees may also want to help members make decisions about giving up one type of pension benefit for another type of pension benefit. Some pension schemes provide pension benefits that include some form of guarantee about the rate of secure pension income they will provide. These are known as ‘safeguarded benefits’. The Government’s factsheet gives more information about this. A defined benefit (DB) pension scheme is a safeguarded benefit.

Sometimes a member wants to give up safeguarded benefits for a pension that offers flexible benefits, such as a defined contribution (DC) scheme. These schemes give members more flexibility on how they can take their benefits. But in most cases, there are certain requirements that must be met before this transaction can take place. Employers and trustees should be aware how the requirements affect how they can help members.

### Getting appropriate independent advice

If the cash equivalent transfer value of the pension is over £30,000, these requirements mean that a member must take independent advice before they can transfer any funds.

When advisers give advice on giving up safeguarded benefits such as a defined benefit (DB) pension, they will usually give 2 pieces of regulated advice:

1. advice on giving up safeguarded pension benefits, and
2. advice on where funds may be subsequently transferred

The trustees must check that a member has taken ‘appropriate independent advice’ before agreeing to release the safeguarded benefit funds.

The ‘appropriate’ in ‘appropriate independent advice’ means the regulated activity of ‘advising on conversion or transfer of pension benefits’, in other words advice that is given by a firm authorised to give this advice. Trustees can use the Financial Services Register to confirm the firms which are able to give this advice. For DB transfers or conversions, firms must have the full FCA permission for ‘advising on pension transfers and opt-outs’. There is a limited version of the same permission for simpler safeguarded benefit transfers, such as giving up guaranteed annuity rates. Firms themselves are responsible for ensuring that advice is given or checked by a suitably qualified individual.

The DWP confirmed to us in 2015 that the ‘independent’ requirement in ‘appropriate independent advice’ means that advice should be given by a firm that is independent of the employer or trustees/manager of a scheme.
Different types of financial advice firms
The ‘independent’ requirement in ‘appropriate independent advice’ is different from the ‘independent’ status of financial advice. Financial advice can be either ‘independent’ or ‘restricted’. Independent advisers can offer the full range of financial products and providers available. Restricted advisers can only offer restricted advice and focus on a limited selection of products and/or providers. All financial advice firms have to be authorised by the FCA. Both independent and restricted firms must meet the same requirements to ensure they are providing suitable advice.

Employers and trustees can appoint either type of financial advice firm to meet the ‘appropriate independent advice’ requirement (but see the section on ‘Arranging for employees to get regulated advice’ below for more information about restricted advice firms).

The trustees’ role
TPR has published regulatory guidance on DB transfer and conversions. It states that it is not the trustees’ role to prevent a member from making decisions which the trustees might consider to be inappropriate. When the trustees check that the member has taken ‘appropriate independent advice’, they should treat the advice as confidential to the member. So trustees should not ask for a copy of the advice the member has received or ask about the substance of the advice. They should just confirm that the adviser’s confirmation meets the legislative requirements.

When a trustee receives written confirmation from an adviser that a member has received ‘appropriate independent advice’, they must check that the firm has the correct permission to provide that advice. For DB transfer advice, the FCA has stated that firms can only give a confirmation of advice where they have given full pension transfer advice, not abridged advice. Abridged advice is a short form of advice which can only result in 2 outcomes, either a recommendation that the member should stay in the scheme or a statement that it is not clear whether the member should stay in the scheme or transfer without undertaking the full advice process.

Advice on conversion or transfer of pension benefits
To avoid giving advice, employers and trustees should not give information that suggests whether or not a member should transfer/convert their safeguarded benefits into flexible benefits.

Employers and trustees can give information that presents a balanced and factual view of the general advantages and disadvantages of keeping safeguarded benefits or transferring/converting those benefits into flexible benefits. But they should not give information to individual members based on their personal circumstances.

Some employers and trustees want to give their scheme members illustrative figures that compare the outcomes a member might get if they keep a safeguarded benefit or transfer/convert it into flexible benefits. But this kind of analysis might steer a member towards a specific course of action, which is part of the regulated advice process. As a result, we consider that providing such figures could mean that firms are likely to be giving advice or an inducement. If an employer or trustee provides a transfer value comparator, in accordance with the FCA’s rules, they should consider whether they are doing it by way of business and need FCA authorisation. A transfer value comparator is
different to the statement of entitlement that certain members have a statutory right to request every 12 months.

**Introducing members to advisers for advice**

Some employers or trustees want to signpost or arrange access to advice for their members. This may result in the member using their pension scheme funds to buy an alternative product. In general, the employer or trustee is unlikely to need to be authorised if they:

- simply state that an employee will need to get advice from an FCA-authorised firm if they are interested in considering whether it may be appropriate for them to transfer (if their transfer value is more than £30,000), and
- signpost an industry-wide directory and nothing more

**Arranging for employees to get regulated advice**

Some employers or trustees may want to arrange access to advice through a named FCA authorised firm. This option is available to employers or trustees providing they take appropriate care not to undertake the regulated activities of giving advice and arranging for transactions on certain financial products themselves. As these activities are set out in law, ultimately it would be for a Court to decide whether the employer was undertaking these activities. We can only give our view but courts may take it into account and find it persuasive.

If you are arranging for your members to get advice, you will probably want to arrange for them to get advice both on giving up their safeguarded benefit and on where the funds could be transferred, if a transfer proceeded. FCA rules require firms to take account of the proposed receiving arrangement when giving advice on transferring/ converting out of a DB scheme. So most members will also want advice on a suitable receiving scheme.

If you are an employer or a trustee, you would be carrying out the regulated activity known as the 'arranging activity' if:

- **a.** you are 'making arrangements with a view to transactions'; and
- **b.** you are doing it by way of business

We know that some employers or trustees have expressed concern that they could be considered to be making arrangements with a view to transactions. This is because members of the DB scheme getting advice subsequently transfer out of a DB scheme and buy rights in FCA-regulated pension products which are ‘specified investments’.

Employers and trustees may like to take their own legal advice to ensure that they do not unintentionally cross into undertaking a regulated activity. In our view, a one-off exercise of identifying suitable advisers, such as providing a list of advisers that scheme members may like to use, is by itself unlikely to be considered to be making arrangements with a view to transactions 'by way of business'. So it would not be considered to be a regulated activity requiring authorisation.

In our view, arranging for scheme members to get independent advice on a broad range of pension products they can transfer to is likely to fall outside the regulated activity of making arrangements with a view to transactions. So, for example, this is
likely to be the case if you introduce members of your DB scheme to an authorised person with a view to providing independent advice about regulated pension products generally, including more than just insurance-based products.

For these purposes, we think that it would be harder for a restricted advice firm to satisfy the requirement to give independent advice. This should not be confused with a restricted advice firm’s ability to give ‘appropriate independent advice’ (see ‘Different types of financial advice firms’ above for more information about the ‘appropriate independent advice’ requirements).

But we cannot be definitive on this point because there is no case law in this area, and each case would be decided on its own facts.

The FCA’s Perimeter Guidance gives more information about this generally.

**Paying for advice**

Employers must pay for ‘appropriate independent advice’ where an employer (or a trustee or a third party on its behalf) contacts 2 or more members/survivors of its DB pension scheme and sets out the available options in terms that ‘encourage, persuade or induce’ a request to transfer or convert their DB pension. You should also be aware of the industry code on incentive exercises. Employers can either arrange and pay for ‘appropriate independent advice’ to be provided, or, where they do not arrange advice, they must pay for advice arranged by the member/survivor. There are certain income tax exemptions where an employer is required to pay for the advice.

Where employers are not required to pay for advice, employers and trustees may want to contribute to the cost of advice, depending on any relevant tax constraints. If you choose to contribute to the cost of advice for your employees as an employer (but are not required to do so), then you should be aware of the government’s income tax exemption for Employer-arranged pensions advice. We think it is good practice to make employees aware that HMRC treats amounts over the limit (which is set out in Regulations) as a benefit in kind.

If you do not subsidise the cost, you may want to tell employees about the pensions advice allowance. If their pension provider offers it, the allowance allows them to access up to £500 from a money purchase pension pot up to 3 times during their lifetime. But employees cannot use the pensions advice allowance to pay for advice on giving up safeguarded benefits.

**Examples of practice and considerations**

*Example 1: occupational pension scheme – providing information*

The ABC Pension Scheme Limited is a defined contribution occupational pension scheme with several sponsoring employers. The scheme’s trustees prepare a series of documents for members setting out the terms of the scheme and the different investment options that members can choose.

As the scheme is an occupational pension scheme, these communications do not constitute regulated advice and the restrictions on promoting financial products do not apply.
Trust law gives pension trustees a duty of care to ensure that their actions are in the best interests of the membership. They need to make sure that the communications are accurate.

**Example 2: employer-sponsored retirement seminars**

Employer DEF knows that many of their employees are over 50 and so may be starting to consider their retirement options. DEF has both a legacy occupational pension scheme and a newer group personal pension scheme (which they use for automatic enrolment).

DEF decides to commission an employee benefit consultancy (EBC) to give a series of in-work retirement seminars to interested employees. The EBC is an FCA-authorised firm and, as such, is responsible for any regulated activity that it undertakes. These seminars cover factual information only, but there is also the possibility to get individual advice from an FCA-regulated adviser, which the firm will subsidise. DEF should make it clear that employees can get advice from FCA-regulated advisers other than EBC. This is in line with FCA expectations.

As the seminars cover factual information, this would not generally be regulated advice but may be classed as a financial promotion, depending on the content and context (the EBC should be able to advise the employer about this). If it amounts to a financial promotion then it would need to be approved by an FCA-authorised person but the EBC would be responsible for arranging this.

Advice given may or may not be regulated, but – as it is delivered by an FCA-regulated adviser and not the employer – the adviser bears the responsibility for complying with relevant FCA rules.

**Example 3: employer sponsor is an FCA-regulated firm**

The trustee of a scheme is organising ‘at retirement’ advice to members who may be considering switching to an FCA-regulated scheme to take benefits. The employer sponsor is FCA-regulated and is part of a group that includes an FCA-regulated firm. The scheme is a hybrid pension with a legacy DB section and an open DC section.

When considering an advice firm to recommend to members, the employer wants to ensure that the advisers can provide independent advice by meeting the ‘appropriate independent advice’ requirement.

The employer considers the DWP’s confirmation that ‘appropriate independent advice’ must be provided by an adviser that is independent of the employer/trustee. So they choose a firm that is completely separate from the group and offers advice on an independent (not restricted) basis. This maintains the independence of the advice from the employer sponsor.

**Example 4: DB scheme – providing indicative transfer values**

A scheme offers members an online system where, among other things, they can view indicative transfer values. The employer considers that showing the cash equivalent transfer value (CETV) on their own might be seen as inducement for members to transfer out. So the employer asks for the system to ensure that, before the member can view the transfer value, they have to proceed through a series of statements highlighting the risks and benefits of keeping or giving up DB benefits.
The employer has asked the system provider to include a transfer value comparator so that the member has more context for the value of the indicative transfer value they see. When the member requests a guaranteed CETV, the scheme immediately sends the member information about The Pensions Advisory Service, and a link to the FCA video on pension transfer advice before they get the CETV. This encourages the member to get guidance and be aware of the advice process before seeking advice.
Annex 3
Cost benefit analysis

1. As we are not making any new rules, our statutory cost benefit analysis (CBA) requirements do not apply. This proposed guidance seeks to support existing and incoming rules, as well as FCA Principles, rather than establish new policy. We consider that the expectations set out in this document are reasonably predictable from those rules and Principles.

2. We do not consider that we should account for costs incurred by firms which have to make changes because they are not currently complying with our existing requirements. So the costs to firms of correcting practices that do not meet the relevant rules and Principles have not been quantified.

3. Based on the findings of both our market-wide data collection and our supervisory findings, we do consider that some firms will need to make changes to their existing processes and will incur costs in doing so. The costs to firms will depend on a number of factors, in particular, the degree to which the approach that firms have been taking to date falls short of acceptable standards. So we consider it is not reasonably practicable to give an estimate of the costs, nor of the resulting benefits. Our high-level analysis is set out below.

Costs

Costs to firms

4. Firms are likely to incur some additional costs by undertaking the activities listed below:

a. Familiarisation and gap analysis: apart from reading this guidance, we expect firms to review their processes and documentation to assess where they are not meeting our expectations. Where firms already carry out regular reviews of their procedures, they may need to expand the scope of such reviews. If firms identify any shortcomings in their procedures, they may need to change their approach which will likely result in a direct cost to the firm.

b. Gathering information: we expect firms to carry out work to ensure they collect enough information about the client’s personal circumstances and risk attitudes. They will also need to consider the way they label and prioritise client objectives and needs, so that objectives and needs are adequately personal to each client.

c. Appropriate pension transfer analysis (APTA): many firms will need to review the way they carry out APTA. While some firms may be carrying out the activities we describe, they may still need to consider how they can better demonstrate they are adequately considering the issues we have set out. For example, we expect firms to be able to demonstrate the alternatives they have considered and dismissed, before recommending a transfer.
d. **Communications:** we expect firms to review the way they communicate with clients, both verbally and in written form. We have set out improvements firms can make when they communicate the following:

- disclosure of charges
- providing balanced and objective information during triage services so that consumers choose for themselves whether to proceed to regulated advice
- explanations of concepts such as transfer value comparator or the Pension Protection Fund
- suitability reports and insistent client information

**Costs to the FCA**

5. There could be an opportunity cost from supervisory time being spent assessing how firms have changed their processes and associated governance and controls to address the issues in this Guidance Consultation. We expect this to be included in normal supervisory activities. In the longer term, our supervisors may need to spend less time assessing firms’ systems and controls in this area, due to the increased clarity of our expectations. Overall, we expect any incremental costs to the FCA to be small.

**Benefits**

6. We expect action taken by firms following the proposed guidance will result in significant benefits to many consumers. In particular:

a. advice is more likely to be compliant with our rules if firms gather better information about their consumers, resulting in fewer files with major information gaps
b. firms are more likely to give suitable advice if they understand how to personalise objectives and APTA for each client, resulting in less consumer harm
c. consumers are more likely to make better informed decisions if firms improve their communications so that consumers can better understand the risks and benefits

7. We welcome any views or comments on the CBA for this proposed guidance, including your thoughts on any costs or benefits we have not taken into account.
Annex 4
List of questions

Q1: Do you agree on the intent and scope of this non-Handbook Guidance Consultation as set out in this Introduction?

Q2: Do you have any comments on how we have set out the high level regulatory regime for giving pension transfer/conversion advice? If not, how would you expect it to be set out?

Q3: Do you agree with our guidance on how personal investment firms, manufacturers and distributors should meet the requirements when securing, manufacturing or distributing professional indemnity insurance? If not, please explain why, by reference to the Handbook rules.

Q4: Do you agree with our explanations on how firms should manage systems and controls and conflicts of interest to achieve the outcomes we expect when giving DB transfer advice? If not, how would you change them?

Q5: Do you agree with our guidance on how pension transfer specialists can meet their continuing professional development requirements? If not, please explain why.

Q6: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should meet their obligations when undertaking financial promotions for DB transfer advice? If not, please explain why.

Q7: Do you agree with our expectations on how a firm should act, when working with unregulated introducers? If not, please state why.

Q8: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should disclose charges for DB transfer/conversion advice before giving advice? If not, explain why.

Q9: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should deliver triage services?

Q10: Do you agree with our initial expectations on how firms should approach the advice process and the scope of know your client information?
Q11: Do you agree with our expectations on how firms should collect information on the client’s personal and family circumstances and their assets and other pension provisions when giving DB transfer/conversion advice? If not, how would you amend the guidance?

Q12: Do you agree with our expectations on how firms should find out about the client’s knowledge and experience when giving DB transfer/conversion advice? If not, how would you amend the guidance?

Q13: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should assess attitude to transfer risk and attitude to investment risk for DB transfers/conversions?

Q14: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should assess client needs and objectives? If not, please explain why.

Q15: Do you agree with our expectations on how firms should consider the scheme data?

Q16: Do you agree with our guidance on how firms should deliver abridged advice and how they can identify clients who meet the tests for the carve-outs? If not, how would you change the guidance?

Q17: Do you agree with our expectations on how firms should prepare and explain the transfer value comparator? If not, how do you think it can be improved?

Q18: Do you agree with our high-level description of appropriate pension transfer analysis and how firms should carry out cashflow modelling? If not, please explain why.

Q19: Do you agree with the guidance and examples we have provided on how firms should approach appropriate pension transfer analysis in certain scenarios? If not, please explain why.

Q20: Do you agree with our explanations of how firms should demonstrate suitability? If not, please explain why.

Q21: Do you agree with the guidance in the template in Annex 1?

Q22: Do you agree with the guidance in the factsheet in Annex 2?
## Annex 5
### Abbreviations used in this paper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APTA</td>
<td>Appropriate Pension Transfer Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVC</td>
<td>Additional voluntary contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>Cost benefit analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CETV</td>
<td>Cash equivalent transfer value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>Centralised investment proposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COBS</td>
<td>Conduct of Business Sourcebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>Continuing Professional Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB</td>
<td>Defined benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Defined contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWP</td>
<td>Department for Work and Pensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBC</td>
<td>Employee Benefit Consultancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCA</td>
<td>Financial Conduct Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSMA</td>
<td>Financial Services and Markets Act 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAR</td>
<td>Guaranteed annuity rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Guidance Consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDPR</td>
<td>General Data Protection Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMP</td>
<td>Guaranteed Minimum Pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICOBS</td>
<td>Insurance: Conduct of Business Sourcebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDD</td>
<td>Insurance Distribution Directive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPRU-IN</td>
<td>Interim Prudential Sourcebook for Investment Businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISA</td>
<td>Individual Savings Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KFI</td>
<td>Key Features Illustration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>Lifetime allowance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaPS</td>
<td>Money and Pensions Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAS</td>
<td>Money Advice Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Management information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRA</td>
<td>Normal retirement age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>Occupational pension scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASA</td>
<td>Pensions Administration Standards Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCLS</td>
<td>Pension commencement lump sum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERG</td>
<td>Perimeter Guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIF</td>
<td>Personal investment firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PII</td>
<td>Professional indemnity insurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF</td>
<td>Pension Protection Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROD</td>
<td>Product Intervention and Product Governance Sourcebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTS</td>
<td>Pension Transfer Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM&amp;CR</td>
<td>Senior Managers Regime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSAS</td>
<td>Small self-administered scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYSC</td>
<td>Senior Management Arrangements, Systems and Controls Sourcebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>Training and Competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR</td>
<td>The Pensions Regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVC</td>
<td>Transfer Value Comparator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS</td>
<td>Workplace pension scheme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>