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In focus:
• Latest trends in the retirement income market
• Highlights from the FCA and Practitioner Panel Survey 2017
Welcome to our tenth issue of the Data Bulletin. In this bulletin we focus on two themes.

Firstly, we look at the latest trends in the retirement income market data from October 2016 to March 2017. Our last update was in issue 8, which was published in February 2017. This time we now have two years’ worth of data, which has been valuable in highlighting trends in the retirement income market since the pension reforms.

Secondly, we provide a summary update of our annual firm feedback questionnaire. This covers fieldwork conducted from March to April 2017, and was published in full on our website in July 2017. In previous years, the FCA Practitioner Panel conducted a separate survey to understand what the industry thinks about the FCA. In response to your feedback, this year we worked together to ask firms to complete a single survey.

If you have any feedback or suggestions about future data you are interested in, please let us know at fcadataandanalysis@fca.org.uk. You can also complete our anonymous user survey. This provides us with feedback and gives us an insight into how you use the Data Bulletin, all of which helps us to focus future editions to areas of most interest.

Jo Hill
Director of Market Intelligence, Data and Analysis
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Accessing pension pots

Key findings

8% fall in the overall number of pension pots accessed between October 2016 and March 2017, from the previous six month period

This followed a significant peak in business at the start of the new tax year (April to September 2016)

This was driven by

21% fall in the number of annuities purchased

11% fall in the number of full cash withdrawals from the previous six month period

9% increase in the overall number of pension pots accessed compared with the same period last year (October 2015 to March 2016)

The market for drawdown grew by

4% from the same period last year

It remains at broadly the same level following the spike in activity in the previous six months

More than twice as many pots are moving into drawdown than annuities

Much of the growth in the overall number of pension pots accessed from a year ago is due to the number of full cash withdrawals, which grew by

18% from the same period last year

While the number of annuity sales fell by

16% to their lowest level since the pension freedoms were introduced
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Section 1:
Latest trends in the retirement income market
October 2016 – March 2017

This section looks at the latest trends in the retirement income market since the introduction of pension freedoms.¹ It is based on the latest data covering the six month period between October 2016 and March 2017.² We focus on the different ways both new and existing customers access their pension pots and how they use regulated advisers. Our previous update covering the period April to September 2016 was featured in issue 8 of our Data Bulletin published in February 2017. This latest update follows the interim findings from the Retirement Outcomes Review. This is the first major comprehensive study into how the retirement income market is changing since the pension freedoms, which was published in July 2017.³ The full data used to produce the analysis can be found in the data tables that are published alongside the bulletin. In previous editions we collected retirement income returns from providers on a quarterly basis. However as we are now collecting data covering a full six month period, we have restated the data from the previous editions. This will enable us to make a consistent comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total pots accessed for the first time to take an income or fully withdraw</th>
<th>Oct 2016 - Mar 2017</th>
<th>% change from previous period (Apr - Sept 2016)</th>
<th>% change from same period last year (Oct 2015 - Mar 2016)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>276,761</td>
<td>▼ -8%</td>
<td>▲ 9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuities purchased</td>
<td>33,561</td>
<td>▼ -21%</td>
<td>▼ -16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New income drawdown policies entered into and not fully withdrawn</td>
<td>83,687</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>▲ 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pots where first partial uncrystallised fund pension lump sum (UFPLS) payment taken and not fully withdrawn</td>
<td>8,707</td>
<td>▲ 16%</td>
<td>▲ 29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full cash withdrawals (by new customers)</td>
<td>150,806</td>
<td>▼ -11%</td>
<td>▲ 18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

¹ This only reflects what consumers are doing with one DC pension pot and does not reflect their overall wealth to fund retirement income. To see analysis of what other savings consumers have to fund their retirement see the Retirement Outcomes Review.

² Our latest tranche of data is based on the returns from 55 firms representative of all retirement solutions and pension providers. This covers 95% of the market for DC scheme providers. All data collected refers to the number of pots accessed and used, rather than the number of consumers, as some consumers may have multiple pension pots.

³ https://www.fca.org.uk/publications/market-studies/retirement-outcomes-review
In detail

There has been a significant fall in the number of annuities purchased compared to the previous six month period. Between October 2016 and March 2017, 33,561 annuities were purchased. This is 8,810 (21%) fewer than the 42,371 purchased between April and September 2016. The vast majority of this fall was among customers aged 55-64 (4,411 fewer) and 65-74 (4,208 fewer). There have been falls in the number of annuities purchased across almost all pot sizes, except for those £250,000 and above. Notably there was a particularly large fall among smaller pot sizes (£10,000 - £29,000).

The number of plans entering partial drawdown products is broadly at the same level as in the previous six month period between April and September 2016. During this time 83,450 partially withdrawn products were entered into. There has been a 4% increase from the same period last year (October 2015 to March 2016) when partial drawdown was entered into on 80,182 plans.

The majority of this growth comes from those aged 65+. They entered partial drawdown on 2,959 more plans between October 2016 and March 2017 than in the same period last year. This is partly offset by a small fall in the overall number of partial drawdown plans entered into by those aged 55-64 over the same period, most significant for those with pot sizes £10,000-£49,000.

Partial UFPLS payments taken and not fully withdrawn continued their steady increase between October 2016 to March 2017.

The number of full cash withdrawals made by new customers between October 2016 to March 2017 fell by 17,959 (11%) from the previous six month period. This is almost entirely concentrated among those with low value pot sizes (less than £29,000). However compared to the same period last year, the number of full cash withdrawals increased by 22,898 (18%).
## Latest trends in the retirement income market

**April 2015 – March 2017**

### Consumer choices

The table below shows take up of retirement income products (both number and % of pots accessed) consolidated for each six month period since Q2 2015. This is the quarter following the introduction of pension freedoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total pots accessed for the first time during period</td>
<td>415,739</td>
<td>254,857</td>
<td>302,107</td>
<td>276,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▲ 19%</td>
<td>▼ 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuities purchased during period</td>
<td>37,172 (9%)</td>
<td>40,020 (16%)</td>
<td>42,371 (14%)</td>
<td>33,561 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▲ 6%</td>
<td>▼ 21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New drawdown policies entered and not fully withdrawn in period</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>80,182 (31%)</td>
<td>83,450 (28%)</td>
<td>83,687 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▲ 4%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pots where first partial UFPLS payment taken and not fully withdrawn in period</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>6,747 (3%)</td>
<td>7,521 (2%)</td>
<td>8,707 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▲ 11%</td>
<td>▲ 16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full cash withdrawals from pots being accessed for first time in period**</td>
<td>244,020 (59%)</td>
<td>127,908 (50%)</td>
<td>168,765 (56%)</td>
<td>150,806 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▲ 32%</td>
<td>▼ 11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

▲▼ Since previous period

* Note it is not possible to do a consistent comparison of retirement income data returns for April – September 2015, six months after the introduction of the pension freedoms, with those covering subsequent periods. This is due to issues with data quality and changes in the format of information collected.

**via UFPLS, flexi-access drawdown (FAD) or small pot lump sum
There was an initial spike in pension access activity in the six months after the introduction of the pension freedoms in April 2015. Subsequently the market seems to have settled down. There was a gradual decline in the total number of pension pots being accessed for the first time over the next two quarters (October 2015 to March 2016).

In the following six month period, between April and September 2016, there was another peak in retirement income sales. This coincided with the start of a new tax year and was driven by a 32% increase in the number of full cash withdrawals. However this was not as significantly reflected in drawdown numbers, which increased by 4% from the previous six month period.

During the period between October 2015 and September 2016, drawdown products outsold annuities at a relatively stable rate of 2:1. The number of new drawdown policies entered into remained stable in the following six month period between October 2016 and March 2017. However there was a significant fall in the number of annuities purchased during this period, reaching the lowest level since the pension freedoms were introduced. This suggests more people are entering into new drawdown plans than are purchasing an annuity.

The number of full cash withdrawals has declined in the latest six month period to 150,806. However they are still the most used method by consumers to access small pension pots accounting for 54% of all pots accessed and 86% of pots less than £10,000.

**Figure 1 – Number of pots accessed by product type since April 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pots accessed for the first time</td>
<td>415,739</td>
<td>254,857</td>
<td>302,107</td>
<td>276,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full cash withdrawals</td>
<td>37,172</td>
<td>6,747</td>
<td>7,521</td>
<td>8,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawdown products entered</td>
<td>244,020</td>
<td>40,020</td>
<td>42,371</td>
<td>33,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New drawdown policies entered and not fully withdrawn in period</td>
<td>242,002</td>
<td>38,206</td>
<td>41,607</td>
<td>32,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuities purchased during period</td>
<td>80,182</td>
<td>127,908</td>
<td>83,450</td>
<td>83,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pots where first partial UFPLS payment not taken and not fully withdrawn in period</td>
<td>18,376</td>
<td>16,876</td>
<td>18,376</td>
<td>18,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pots accessed for the first time</td>
<td>3,506</td>
<td>3,506</td>
<td>3,506</td>
<td>3,506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures on drawdown and UFPLS were not collected in the same format between July and September 2015 and therefore have been omitted for this period.
Product purchases by pot size and age

October 2016 - March 2017

Figures 2 and 3 show how access to pension pots between October 2016 and March 2017 varied by pot size and age.

Figure 2 shows that the majority of people with small pot sizes below £29,000 tend to access their pots by making full cash withdrawals. This accounts for the majority of pension pots accessed.

The propensity to withdraw reduces with pot size. For pot sizes above £30,000 people increasingly prefer to enter drawdown policies rather than make full cash withdrawals from their pension pot. Therefore while over half of pots (54%) accessed between October 2016 and March 2017 were fully withdrawn, these are mostly small with the large majority below £30,000.

Figure 3 shows that between October 2016 and March 2017 most consumers aged below 65 chose to make full cash withdrawals or partial drawdowns from their pensions pots rather than a regular income stream through an annuity. However a greater proportion of those aged 65+ chose to take an annuity.
Consumer behaviour

During the latest six month period (October 2016 and March 2017), 57% of drawdown customers used their existing provider. This is consistent with the previous six months between April and September 2016, and in line with the steady growth in the drawdown market.

In the same period, 55% of annuity purchases were made by existing customers. This is a reduction from the previous six months when 60% of annuities sales were to existing customers.

There were also 32,333 pensions with guaranteed annuity rates (GARs) that were accessed between October 2016 and March 2017. In 58% of cases the GAR option was not taken up. Of these, around two thirds (67%) were encashed. This compares with 53% of pensions with GARs that were not taken up in the previous period.

### Table 1- Consumer behaviour – switching and take up of pensions with guaranteed annuity rates (GARs) between October 2015 and March 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number (% of total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choosing existing provider</td>
<td>Drawdown:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47,042 (59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annuity purchases:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23,406 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions with guaranteed annuity rates (GARs)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of which not taken up</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of regulated advisers

The data received from our sample of firms show that customers’ use of regulated advisers differs across each product type and by pension pot size. Around a third (34%) of customers purchasing annuities use regulated advisers according to the data for the latest period between October 2016 and March 2017. This has fallen from two fifths (40%) in the same period last year.

The highest levels of adviser use continues to be for customers going into drawdown. This has remained steady at 69%. This means that around 30% of customers accessing their pension pots through drawdown since October 2015 did not take advice. The percentage of drawdown accessed by existing customers of firms has remained relatively static. This could suggest that customers who access pots early without taking advice may be accepting drawdown from their current pension provider without shopping around. Changes in this percentage could have a big impact on the total advice proportion across the sector.

There was a fall in adviser use among those making full withdrawals from their pension, from 44% between April and September 2016 to 38% in the latest six month period (October 2016 to March 2017).

Figure 4 – Percentage of product purchases and withdrawals where provider has recorded use of a regulated adviser (October 2015 - March 2017)

69% of customers used an adviser when going into drawdown

4. Some providers cannot determine whether customers used advice when accessing their pots and have instead provided data on whether customers used advice when taking out the original pension.

* Where pots were not fully withdrawn.

** Full withdrawals have a different base and includes new and existing customers fully withdrawing in the period.
Section 2:
FCA and Practitioner Panel Survey – 2017 Report
Overview

This section provides a summary of the FCA and Practitioner Panel Survey published in July 2017

The FCA conducts an annual survey to gather the industry’s views of the FCA’s effectiveness as a regulator across a range of topics:

- our performance including against our objectives
- communication
- trust in the FCA
- enforcement
- international issues

In previous years, the Practitioner Panel has conducted their own survey to understand what the industry thinks. In response to your feedback, this year the FCA has joined forces with the Practitioner Panel to ask firms to complete a single survey.

In this issue of the Data Bulletin we highlight some of the key findings. You can find further information and a full breakdown of the themes explored in the full report.

The latest wave of the survey was conducted by Kantar Public on behalf of the FCA and the Panel. Fieldwork took place between March and April 2017. In total, 2,080 firms completed the survey, constituting a response rate of 21%.

We would like to thank those who took the time to complete the questionnaire, or took part in a follow-up interview.
Performance of the FCA as a regulator

Firms were asked to rate their satisfaction with their FCA relationship and how effective the FCA has been in regulating the financial services industry in the last year. The rating scale ran from 1 to 10, with 1 being extremely dissatisfied and 10 being extremely satisfied. The results are shown in Figure 5.

Over three quarters (77%) of firms are highly satisfied, scoring more than 7 out of 10, with the relationship they have with the FCA. This is an 8 percentage point increase from the previous year. There was a drop in the proportion of firms that provided low satisfaction ratings (1 to 3 out of 10 from 5% in the previous year to 3%). As a result, average satisfaction levels among firms have increased from those in the previous year (from 7.2 to 7.5 out of 10). However scores were lower among fixed firms compared with flexible firms (6.9 compared with 7.5).

On the FCA’s effectiveness as a regulator, there has also been an increase from three fifths (60%) in the previous year to two thirds (68%) in the proportion of firms giving a high rate (scoring at least 7 out of 10). There were also falls in the proportion of firms providing low effectiveness ratings from 8% in the previous year to 5% this year. As a result average effectiveness ratings increased from 6.7 to 7.0.

Performance against our objectives

As shown in Figure 6, there has been an improvement in perception of the FCA’s oversight and ability to deliver on its statutory objectives between 2016 and 2017. However firms appear less confident in the FCA’s ability to achieve the third operational objective to promote effective competition in the interest of consumers.

5. Fixed portfolio firms are a small population of firms (out of the total number regulated by the FCA) that, based on factors such as size, market presence and customer footprint, require the highest level of supervisory attention. These firms are allocated a named individual supervisor and are proactively supervised using a continuous assessment approach.

6. Flexible portfolio firms are proactively supervised through a combination of market-based thematic work and programmes of communication, engagement and education activity aligned with the key risks identified for the sector in which the firms operate. These firms use the FCA Customer Contact Centre as their first point of contact as they are not allocated a named individual supervisor.
Contact and communication

When asked to consider their level of satisfaction with communications from the FCA, firms were on average satisfied scoring 7 out of 10 (Figure 7).

This is a slight improvement from 2016 when 64% gave a high score (at least 7 out of 10) and five per cent gave a low score (3 or less out of 10). Average satisfaction scores among firms remains largely unchanged (7.0, compared with 6.9 in 2016).

In general, as shown in Figure 8, fixed firms had a more positive outlook on FCA communication than flexible firms. The only aspect in which fixed firms are less positive is on the timeliness of FCA communications.

The vast majority of firms (92%) also felt the level of interaction to be ‘about right’. These results are broadly comparable to those seen in 2016. A notable change this year is the drop in the proportion of fixed firms saying that the level of contact was ‘too much’, from 14% in 2016 to 6% in 2017.

---

**Figure 7 – After all the information that you have seen, heard or read about the FCA, how satisfied are you with the communication from the FCA?**

- Mean 7.0
- 67% 7 to 10 (high)
- 30% 4 to 6
- 3% 1 to 3 (low)

**Figure 8 – Agreement with the statements about FCA communications, by firm type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neither agree or disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Base: All firms (2,080)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall, the FCA’s communications to my firm are timely</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>Fixed: 68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible: 54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, the FCA’s communications to my firm are useful</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Fixed: 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible: 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, the FCA’s communications to my firm are clear</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>Fixed: 72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible: 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, the FCA’s expectations of your firm have been articulated clearly</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>Fixed: 57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible: 39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall, the FCA’s communications contain the right level of detail to be useful to my firm</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>Fixed: 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flexible: 11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall trust in the FCA

As was the case last year, the majority of firms (77%) reported that their level of trust in the FCA had stayed the same over the previous 12 months. However, a slightly higher proportion of firms reported that their trust has increased – 18%, compared with 13% in 2016.

The results for trust in FCA supervisors and staff were less clear. Around two fifths of firms tended to agree with trust based statements. However, a significant proportion neither agreed nor disagreed, or responded ‘don’t know’ (Figure 9).

**Figure 9 – Agreement with statements about FCA supervisors/staff**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCA supervisors/staff have sufficient experience</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCA supervisors/staff exercise good judgement</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCA supervisors/staff have sufficient knowledge to understand my firm</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: All firms: 2016 (3,357); 2017 (2,080)
Transparency

Transparency of FCA regulations is a key element of trust. Just over half of firms (53%) agreed that ‘FCA regulation is transparent’. This is a slight improvement since 2016 when 48% agreed. Agreement is higher among fixed firms (65%) than flexible firms (53%).

Understanding of regulation and regulatory burden

Figure 10 shows that two thirds of firms (66%) agreed that they had a clear understanding of the distinction between the FCA’s regulatory objectives and those of the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) (this figure was 94% among fixed portfolio firms). Half (50%) felt that the FCA and PRA were suitably coordinated in their regulation. Just over a third (36%) felt that the FCA coordinated effectively with international regulators. Where firms did not agree with these statements they tended to record a neutral answer rather than disagreeing.

Data or information requests

Almost two thirds (66%) of firms felt the level of requests from the FCA were about right and 13% felt there were more than seemed necessary. However the split in responses markedly differs between the 73 fixed firms that responded to the survey and the 2,007 flexible firms that responded. For example, only 26% of fixed firms felt the number of requests were about right. This is significantly up from only 12% in 2016. Overall 18% of firms felt there were a lot of requests but for understandable reasons, and 13% felt there were more than seemed necessary. Fixed firms were more likely to report that there were a lot of requests but for understandable reasons (58%) compared to only 17% of flexible firms. This may reflect the fact that fixed firms are likely to receive more information requests.
Enforcement

Most firms took some action when they were aware of relevant enforcement action. Only seven per cent of firms said that they took no action as a result. The most common actions taken by firms are included in Figure 11 for example, discussing the action at a board meeting (54% of flexible firms).

Figure 11 – Percentage of firms that took an action as a result of enforcement activity

- Discussed the specific issues at a board meeting: 84% (Fixed 84%; Flexible 54%)
- Carried out a review of your conduct risks: 79% (Fixed 79%; Flexible 48%)
- Sent communications to all relevant staff: 81% (Fixed 81%; Flexible 45%)
- Implemented a specific review of your own business: 74% (Fixed 74%; Flexible 35%)
- Called meeting(s) specifically to discuss the issue: 68% (Fixed 68%; Flexible 32%)
- Introduced or changed training modules: 61% (Fixed 61%; Flexible 25%)
- Reviewed your firm’s remuneration structures and processes: 30% (Fixed 30%; Flexible 17%)
- Made a change to your business model: 21% (Fixed 21%; Flexible 14%)

73% of firms agreed that enforcement procedures were a credible deterrent (compared to 65% in 2016)

Base: All firms who were aware of enforcement activity over the last two years relevant to their business: Fixed (64); Flexible (647)
International Issues

All firms were asked what they consider the FCA’s objectives should be during the process of the UK exiting the EU. Seven in ten firms (70%) responded. The verbatim responses were reviewed and grouped together into common answers (Figure 12). The results show the most common concern is ensuring stability and clarity.

Figure 12 – What do you think the FCA’s objectives should be during the process of exiting the EU?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimise upheaval/ disruption/ change for UK firms</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide clear guidance about new regulation/ changes to regulation</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that the UK market remains attractive/ competitive/ effective</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect consumers/maintain consumer confidence</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect/ support the financial services industry/ firms</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove EU regulations that are bad for the UK industry</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: All firms (2,080)
Next steps

In future issues we want to make sure we give you the information that you would find most useful, so if you have any comments or suggestions for future content please contact us at: fcadataandanalysis@fca.org.uk

Get the facts
If you would like to subscribe/unsubscribe from the Data Bulletin please visit:
fca.org.uk/firms/data-bulletin-subscription-form

Data Bulletin
Underlying data used in this bulletin.
www.fca.org.uk/publications/data/data-bulletin-issue-10

In case you missed them

Here are some other data sets we have published recently that you may find interesting:

Mortgage lending statistics (2017 Q1)
We have been tracking mortgage lending trends since 2007. Our latest data show that the amount of new lending was down in Q1 2017 to £60.4bn, compared to Q4 2016 (£62.8bn). From the previous quarter there has been a 5.1 percentage point fall in the value of new loans for house purchases as a proportion of the value of all loans advanced in the period, from 66.05% to 60.93%, driven by a decrease in the first-time-buyer (FTB) category. See all the latest findings at www.fca.org.uk/firms/mortgage-lending-statistics

The next mortgage lending statistics are due to be published on 12 September 2017.

Retirement Outcomes Review (2017)
We published the interim findings of the Retirement Outcomes Review, which looks at how the retirement income market has changed since the pension freedoms were introduced in April 2015. The Review particularly focused on consumers who do not take advice. The Review found that consumers have welcomed the pension freedoms. Over one million defined contribution pension pots have been accessed since the reforms. The Retirement Outcomes Review can be found here: www.fca.org.uk/publications/market-studies/retirement-outcomes-review

The FCA and the FCA Practitioner Panel Survey 2017
We published, together with the FCA Practitioner Panel, the findings from our joint 2017 survey of FCA-regulated firms. The survey gives a view across the financial services sector of the FCA’s performance as a regulator. The survey can be found here: www.fca.org.uk/news/press-releases/fca-practitioner-panel-joint-survey-industry-views