PAYMENT SYSTEMS REGULATOR

Workshop Access to UK Payment Systems

Welcome

Mary Starks
Interim Managing Director of Payment System
Regulator

Agenda

Time	Item	
9am	Registration and tea / coffee	
9.30am	Welcome	Mary Starks
9.45am	Overview of PSR policy framework for reviewing access to UK payment systems	Jana Mackintosh
10.05am	Q&A	Gareth Thomas and panel: Mary Starks, Jana Mackintosh, Tim Keyworth
10.20am	Breakout session	
11.10am	Tea / coffee break	
11.30am	Break out session	
12.15pm	Closing remarks and next steps	Gareth Thomas
12.30pm	Networking lunch	

Overview of PSR policy framework for reviewing access to payment systems

Jana Mackintosh Manager, Policy

Key question on 'Access to payment systems'

What type of access regime will deliver the best outcomes for payment system service-users?

Key question on 'Access to payment systems'

A number of themes have emerged from discussions with stakeholders regarding what a good access regime looks like. Specifically, an access regime should:

- > Be clear on what is meant by 'access'
- Minimise the potential and perceived barriers to access
- > Deliver a well-functioning payments market
- Consider the systemic importance of payments to the wider UK economy
- Consider the role that other characteristics such as scheme structures and governance and ownership play with respect to access
- > Be **proportionate** to enable regulation to be targeted effectively

Access:

refers to the ability of all Payment Service

Providers to use the **services** provided by payment systems to enable the transfer of funds between participants in payment systems.

Access:



refers to the ability of all **Payment Service Providers**

to use the **services** provided by payment systems to enable the transfer of funds between payment system participants.

Direct access

Where a PSP has a **direct arrangement** in place with the **operator** of a payment system

Indirect access

Where a PSP has an arrangement with another payment service provider with direct access to the payment system

Access:

refers to the ability of all **Payment Service Providers**

to use the **services** provided by payment systems to enable the transfer of funds between payment system participants.



Large credit institutions
Medium/Smaller credit
institutions
Building societies
Credit unions
E-money Institutions
Payment Institutions
Correspondent banks

- Payment Service Providers are a diverse population. The type of access they demand is typically determined by:
 - the needs of the customers they serve
 - their technical capabilities
 - their regulatory status within the UK
 - their size and the nature of their business
 - the criteria for gaining different types of access
 - the relative cost of different access options
- Similarly the type of access options available to Payment Service Providers varies between schemes (BACS, CHAPS, C&CCC, FPS and LINK)

The PSPs with **direct access** are primarily the large credit institutions but may also include smaller banks, building societies and foreign banks.

PSPs with **indirect access** can include:

- Agency banks Primarily medium to smaller credit institutions and building societies that are eligible for a sort code
- Corporate customers May include building societies, E-Money Institutions or Payment Institutions that offers a broad range of payments and financial services to customers. From a sponsoring bank's perspective, the services provided to this type of indirect participant are similar to those provided to corporate customers.
- Correspondent banks Traditional international correspondent banking relationships with foreign banks are also typically of this type.

PSR Strategic vision for 'Access to UK payment systems'

Ensure open, fair, non-discriminatory access to payments infrastructure and systems

Reviewing 'Access to payment systems'?

- The PSR would like to understand:
 - whether the access regime (direct or indirect) is itself creating barriers to entry
 - whether certain features of that access regime unduly prevent, restrict or distort competition and innovation in UK payment systems.
- The views and experiences of service users and end users in payment systems will be key in informing the PSR's interim views and policy proposals.

Views and experiences of stakeholders

Barriers to gaining access – e.g. regulatory and technology barriers **Lack of choice** – e.g. limited number of sponsoring banks and high switching costs

Complex on boarding requirements – e.g. AML processes **Terms and conditions** – e.g. non-transparent and complex access

arrangements and uncertainty in supply

Technical and operational requirements – e.g. cost of technology solutions and non-standard interfaces

Cost of access – e.g. upfront and on-going costs/fees

Quality of services – e.g. lack of formal communication and service levels

Changing regulatory landscape – e.g. ring-fencing

Credit and liquidity risk management requirements – particularly onerous for smaller players

Reviewing 'Access to payment systems'?

- The key considerations for the PSR will be:
 - to what extent the access criteria and terms and conditions are necessary and proportionate to achieve the underlying objectives, and
 - whether they are applied in fair and non-discriminatory ways
- Regardless of the type of access, PSPs should be able to:
 - Deliver desired and beneficial outcomes to service users and end users
 - Deliver a ubiquitous end user experience
 - Compete with rivals within the payments market



Gareth Thomas, PSR Programme Director Panel: Mary Starks, Jana Mackintosh, Tim Keyworth

Break out session 1

Other Inter-bank Systems

- Orange and blue stickers
- Congress Suites 1-3 (Lower Ground Floor)

Faster Payments

- Red and green stickers
- Invision Suites 1-2 (2nd Floor)

Tea and coffee break

Congress Foyer

Break out session 2

Other Inter-bank Systems

- Orange and green stickers
- Congress Suites 1-3 (Lower Ground Floor)

Faster Payments

- Red and blue stickers
- Invision Suites 1-2 (2nd Floor)

Closing remarks and next steps

Gareth Thomas

Payment Systems Regulator Programme Director

Networking lunch

Congress Foyer